Rocky Flats Plant

MARCH 1993

ER-4180110-219

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND WASTE REPORTING:**

- P. J. Etchart, Coordinator
- D. A. Cirrincione, Supervisor
- N. L. Erdmann, Technical Editor
- D. R. Stanton, Desktop Publisher

#### CONTRIBUTORS:

- B. M. Bowen
- L. A. Dunstan
- G. R. Euler
- V. T. Guettlein
- T. G. Kalivas L. C. Pauley
- Analytical Laboratories

**Environmental Radiochemistry Laboratory** 



### LEGRO ROCKY FLATS

EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc. Rocky Flats Plant P.O. Box 464 Golden, Colorado 80402-0464

A prime contractor to the

United States Department of Energy

## Table of Contents

List of Figur	res		i
	ghlights		
1 Total	ction		1.1
1. introduc		. <b></b> .	
2.1 Ai	irborne Effluent		2-1
	adionuclide		
	onradionuclide		
3.3 Flo	ow		
Er	rata 1992 Datarata 1993 Data		3-16
4. Meteoro	ology and Climatology	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	4-1
Appendix A Appendix B Appendix C Appendix D	<ul> <li>National Pollutant Discharge E</li> <li>Compliance Agreement Volati</li> <li>Colorado Water Quality Contr</li> </ul>	Elimination System/Fe le Organic Compound ol Commission Stand	deral Facilities ls B-1 lards
-FF			
List of Fi	igures		
Figure 1 Figure 2 Figure 3 Figure 4 Figure 5	Radiological Effluent Air Samp Location of Onsite and Perimete Location of Community Air Sam Holding and Liquid Effluent Wa Wind Rose for the Rocky Flats I	r Air Samplers nplers	2-8 2-9 

March 1993

### List of Tables

Table 1	Plutonium and Americium Airborne Effluent Data	. 2-4
Table 2	Uranium Airborne Effluent Data	. 2-5
Table 3	Tritium and Beryllium Airborne Effluent Data	. 2-6
Table 4	Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers	2-10
Table 5	Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers	2-11
Table 6	Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Community Samplers	2-12
Table 7	Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium	. 3-3
Table 8	Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium	. 3-4
Table 9	Onsite Water Sample Results - Tritium	. 3-5
Table 10	NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results	. 3-7
Table 11	NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring	. 3-9
Table 12	Water Sample Results, Nonradioactive Parameters	3-11
Table 13	Daily Flow Data Recorded at the Walnut Creek at Indiana Gaging	- 40
	Station, Ponds A-4 and B-5	3-13
Table 14	Daily Flow Data Recorded at Ponds C-1 and C-2 (Woman Creek)	3-14
Table 15	Daily Transfer Flow Data Recorded for Pond B-5 to Pond A-4	3-15
Table 7	Errata February 1992	2 1 6
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium	3-16
Table 7	Errata March 1992	2 15
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium	3-1/
Table 8	Errata March 1992	2 10
<b></b>	Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium	3-18
Table 9	Errata March 1992	2 10
TC-1-1 - 1 1	Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Amercium	3-19
Table 11	Errata March 1992 Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritium	2 20
T. 1. 7		. 3-20
Table 7	Errata April 1992	2.21
Table 8	Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium Errata April 1992	. 5-21
i able 8	Errata April 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium	3.22
Table 9		. 5-22
rable 9	Errata April 1992 Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Amercium	3_23
Table 10		
Table 10	Errata April 1992 Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium	3-24
Table 11	Errata April 1992	. ၂-2
Table 11	Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritium	3-25
Table 7	Errata May 1992	
rabic /	Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium	3-26
Table 8	Errata May 1992	. 520
Table 6	Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium	. 3-27
Table 9	Errata May 1992	. <i>3 <b>2</b>,</i>
1 doic 7	Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium	3-28
Table 10	Errata May 1992	
14010 10	Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium	3-29
Table 11	Errata May 1992	
140.011	Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritium	. 3-30
Table 7	Errata June 1992	
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium	. 3-31

Page ii March 1993

Table 8	Errata June 1992	
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium	12
Table 9	Errata June 1992	
	Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium	3
Table 10	Errata line 1992	
	Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium	,4
Table 11	Errata June 1992	
T-11- 7		))
lable /	Chaita Victor Samula Dasulta Diutonium and Americium	26
Table 8	Ferrata July 1002	U
Table 6	Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium 3-3	१७
Table 9	Errata July 1992	
	Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium	38
Table 10	Errata July 1992	
	Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium	39
Table 11	Errata July 1992	
		10
Table 7	Errata August 1992	
Table 0	Unsite water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium	ŧΙ
i able o	Oncite Water Cample Decults Hearing 2	12
Table 11	Frrata August 1002	+2
14010 11	Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritium	43
Table 7	Errata September 1992	
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-4	<del>14</del>
Table 8	Errata September 1992	
		45
Table 9	Errata September 1992	
Table 10	Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium	10
rable 10	Offsite Water Sample Pesults - Uranium	17
Table 11		* /
14010 11	Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritium	48
Table 7	Errata October 1992	
•	Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-4	49
Table 8	Errata October 1992	
		50
Table 9		~ 1
Table 10		) [
Table 10	Offsite Water Sample Desults - Uranium	5つ
Table 11	Errata October 1992	ے ر
14010 11		53
Table 7	Errata November 1992	_
		54
Table 8	Errata November 1992	
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium	55
Table 9		
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Tritium	56
	Table 8 Table 9 Table 10 Table 11 Table 7 Table 8 Table 9 Table 10 Table 11 Table 7 Table 8 Table 9 Table 11 Table 7 Table 8 Table 9 Table 10 Table 10 Table 10 Table 11 Table 7 Table 8 Table 9 Table 10 Table 11 Table 7 Table 8 Table 9 Table 8 Table 9	Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium 3-3 Table 9 Errata June 1992 Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-3 Table 10 Errata June 1992 Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium 3-2 Table 11 Errata June 1992 Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritium 3-3 Table 7 Errata July 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-3 Table 8 Errata July 1992 Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-3 Table 9 Errata July 1992 Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-3 Table 10 Errata July 1992 Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium 3-3 Table 11 Errata July 1992 Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium 3-4 Table 12 Errata July 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-4 Table 13 Errata August 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-4 Table 8 Errata August 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-4 Table 18 Errata August 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium 3-4 Table 19 Errata September 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium 3-4 Table 10 Errata September 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-4 Table 8 Errata September 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium 3-4 Table 9 Errata September 1992 Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium 3-4 Table 10 Errata September 1992 Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-4 Table 11 Errata August September 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-4 Table 10 Errata September 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-4 Table 10 Errata October 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-4 Table 11 Errata October 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-5 Table 11 Errata October 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-5 Table 11 Errata October 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-5 Table 11 Errata October 1992 Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-5 Table 11 Errata October 1992 Onsite Wate

March 1993 Page iii

Table 7	Errata December 1992
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium
Table 8	Errata December 1992
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium
Table 9	Errata December 1992
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Tritium
Table 7	Errata February 1993
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium
Table 8	Errata February 1993
	Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium
Table 16	Rocky Plant Wind Direction Frequency (Percent) by Four
	Wind-Speed Classes
Table 17	Climatic Summary
	•

Page iv

## Rocky Flats Plant Environmental Monitoring Report

### March Highlights

Summarized below are highlights from the major data categories presented. Remaining data presented in this report are within the ranges historically measured for their respective parameters and locations.

RFP Laboratory Status - The Environmental Radiochemistry Laboratory, which resumed normal operations in February, continued overtime work in March to eliminate sample backlogs. The backlog has been eliminated and normal laboratory operations are continuing. All NPDES samples for March 1993 were submitted and analyzed by the Analytical Laboratories, which also has resumed normal operations. There were no NPDES exceedances reported during the month and results were within expected ranges. Errata for ambient air will be presented upon completion of individual errata tables.

Airborne Effluent Calculations - The March data for four plutonium locations are missing because Quality Assurance Criteria were not satisfied. The samples are being rerun. The data for americium locations during February is complete and reported in Table 1. The reported results for plutonium locations are within the ranges typically measured for airborne effluent calculations.

Uranium Airborne Effluent Concentrations - All uranium airborne effluent data for March are complete and reported in Table 2 of this report. The reported results are within the ranges typically measured for uranium airborne effluent calculations. Table 2 also includes data for 12 uranium locations that were not reported in February because Quality Assurance Criteria were not satisfied. The samples were rerun and the results are within normally expected ranges.

March 1993 Page v

Tritium and Beryllium Effluent Concentrations - Quality Assurance Criteria were not satisfied for five tritium locations, and the samples are being rerun. The reported tritium concentrations are within historically expected ranges. Twenty-four samples during the March sampling period were exchanged after sampling times of 20 minutes to 1 hour, rather than after the usual 2- to 3-day sampling interval. Sample results for all of these samples were less than the minimum detectable concentrations and are not included in the C Maximum values for this month.

Beryllium data for February and March are missing because of incomplete laboratory analysis, and will be reported as it becomes available.

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air - Tables 4, 5, and 6 provide the results of plutonium concentrations in ambient air for onsite samplers, perimeter samplers, and community samplers. Data from eight locations are missing because of incomplete laboratory analysis. Results will be reported when they become available. The results reported during March are within the ranges typically measured for ambient air concentrations.

March data also is provided for the S-4 location on plantsite. The sampler at the S-4 location had been out of service, and was replaced in February with community sampler S-60.

Onsite Water Sample Results - Tables 7, 8, and 9 provide results of onsite water sampling. Some results not reported because of incomplete laboratory analysis will be reported as the data become available. Reported results are within normally expected ranges.

Results of analyses of past onsite water sampling results are provided in individual errata tables. These include data from February 1992 through December 1992, as well as February 1993. Three community locations from August 1992 are still awaiting analysis, and will be reported as the data become available. Results of the analyses are within normally expected ranges. Pond A-3 was discharged from March 5-8, and Pond A-4 began discharging on March 27.

Total Long-lived Alpha and Beta Activity Screening - Results of total long-lived alpha and beta activity screening, performed on air effluent sample filters prior to radiochemical processing and analysis, are within normally expected ranges. Gross alpha and gross beta analyses for Pond A-4 discharges during March are also well within normally expected ranges.

Page vi March 1993

### 1. Introduction

The Rocky Flats Plant (RFP) has been part of a nationwide Department of Energy (DOE) complex for the research, development, and production of nuclear weapons. The plant was responsible for fabricating nuclear weapons components from plutonium, uranium, beryllium, and stainless steel. The primary production activities included metal fabrication and assembly, chemical recovery and purification of process-produced transuranic radionuclides, and related quality control functions.

This mission changed with the announcement in early 1992 that certain planned weapons systems had been canceled. RFP no longer produces weapons components, and is now in a transition phase into decontamination and disposition (D&D). Primary objectives of this new mission include achieving and maintaining compliance with environmental regulatory requirements, as well as effecting proper D&D steps that are under development.

Because radioactive and chemically hazardous materials may be used or handled at RFP during transition, the plant maintains an extensive environmental protection program. Included in that program is regular monitoring for radioactive and hazardous constituents at onsite, plant boundary, and offsite locations.

This Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report summarizes the effluent and environmental monitoring programs at the RFP for March 1993. Data presented herein reflect the best information available to the RFP at this time. If subsequent analyses indicate that any data presented herein are inaccurate or misleading, revisions will be issued promptly.

The Highlights section summarizes the major data categories presented. Remaining data presented in this report are within the ranges historically measured for their respective parameters and locations.

Radiation standards for protection of the public are discussed in Appendix A of this report. The primary standards are based on calculations of radiation dose. These calculations are performed annually using monitoring data presented in the Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report. Radiation doses to the public from RFP operations are typically well below any regulatory limit and far less than are received from naturally occurring radiation sources in the Denver metropolitan area.

March 1993 Page 1-1

Appendix B lists the Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) for which monitoring is required under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement (NPDES/FFCA). Appendix C describes Colorado Water Quality Control Commission standards for the Walnut Creek and Woman Creek drainages downstream of RFP.

Error terms in the form of "a±b" are included with some of the data. For a single sample, "a" is the analytical-blank corrected value; for multiple samples it represents the arithmetic mean, the volume-weighted mean, or the annual total, as indicated in the table. The error term "b" accounts for the propagated statistical counting uncertainty of the sample(s) and the associated analytical blanks at the 95 percent confidence level. These error terms represent a minimum estimate of error for the data.

Plutonium, uranium, americium, tritium, and beryllium measured concentrations are given in this report. Most of the measured concentrations are at or very near background levels, and often there is little or no amount of these materials in the media analyzed. When this occurs, the results of the laboratory analyses can be expected to show a statistical distribution of positive and negative numbers near zero and numbers that are less than the calculated minimum detectable concentration for the analyses. The laboratory analytical blanks, used to correct for background contributions to the measurements, show a similar statistical distribution around their average values. Negative sample values result when the measured value for a laboratory analytical blank is subtracted from a sample analytical result smaller than the analytical blank value. Results that are less than calculated minimum detectable levels indicate that the results are below the level of statistical confidence in the actual numerical values. All reported results, including negative values and values that are less than minimum detectable levels, are included in any arithmetic calculations on the data set. Reporting all values allows all of the data to be evaluated using appropriate statistical treatment. This assists in identifying any bias in the analyses, allows better evaluation of distributions and trends in environmental data, and helps in estimating the true sensitivity of the measurement process.

The reader should use caution in interpreting individual values that are negative or less than minimum detectable levels. A negative value has no physical significance. Values less than minimum detectable levels lack statistical confidence as to what the actual number is, although it is known with high confidence that it is below the specified

Page 1-2 March 1993

detection level. Such values should not be interpreted as being the actual amount of material in the sample, but should be seen as reflecting a range (from zero to the minimum detectable level) in which the actual amount would likely lie. These values are significant, however, when taken together with other analytical results that indicate that the distribution is near zero.

The data in this report are provided as a matter of courtesy and should not be construed as an application for a permit or license, or in support of such an application. Approval of the DOE should be obtained before publication of any data contained in this report.

Abbreviations used within this report are as defined.

#### **Abbreviations**

C Average C Maximum **C Minimum** m3 m/s mCi mg/l mrem pCi/l pCi/m<sup>3</sup> Ηд SU μg/m<sup>3</sup> #/100 ml μCi μg/l

Average concentration Maximum concentration Minimum concentration Cubic meter Meters per second Millicurie Milligrams per liter Millirem Picocuries per liter Picocuries per cubic meter Hydrogen ion concentration Standard Unit Micrograms per cubic meter Number per 100 milliliter Microcurie Micrograms per liter

March 1993 Page 1-3

Page 1-4 March 1993

### 2. Air

### 2.1 Airborne Effluent

RFP continuously monitors radionuclide air emissions at 53 locations in 17 buildings. The requirements outlined in the General Environmental Protection Programs (DOE Order 5400.1) and the National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From DOE Facilities (40 CFR 61, Subpart H), mandate the continuous monitoring of air emissions at all release points with the potential of discharging radionuclides into the air in quantities that could result in an effective dose equivalent (EDE) greater than 0.1 millirem per year.

The radiological particulate monitoring and sampling program uses a three-tier approach comprising Selective Alpha Air Monitors (SAAMs), total long-lived alpha screening of routine air duct emission sample filters, and radiochemical analysis of isotopes collected from air duct emission samples. This approach balances both sensitivity and timeliness of desired results. Figure 1 shows a typical radiological emission sampler configuration within an exhaust duct at the RFP.

For immediate detection of abnormal conditions, RFP building ventilation systems that service areas containing plutonium are equipped with SAAMs. SAAMs are sensitive to specific alpha particle energies and are set to detect plutonium-239 and -240. These detectors are subjected to daily operational checks, monthly performance testing and calibration for airflow, and an annual radioactive source calibration to maintain sensitivity and reliability. Monitors alarm automatically if out-of-tolerance conditions are experienced.

At regular intervals, particulate material samples from a continuous sampling system are removed from each exhaust system and radiometrically analyzed for long-lived alpha and beta emitters. The concentration of long-lived alpha and beta emitters is indicative of effluent quality and overall performance of the High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filtration system. If the total long-lived alpha concentration for an effluent sample exceeds the RFP action value of 0.020 x 10-12 microcuries per milliliter, a follow-up investigation is conducted to determine the cause and to evaluate the need for corrective action. The action value is equal to the most restrictive offsite Derived Concentration Guide (DCG) for plutonium activity in air.

March 1993 Page 2-1

At the end of each month, individual samples from each exhaust system are composited by location. An aliquot of each dissolved composite sample is analyzed for beryllium particulate materials. The remainder of the dissolved sample is subjected to radiochemical separation and alpha spectral analysis that quantifies specific alpha-emitting radionuclides. Analyses for uranium isotopes are conducted for each composite sample.

Forty-one of the ventilation exhaust systems are located in buildings where plutonium processing is conducted. Particulate material samples from these exhaust systems are analyzed for specific isotopes of plutonium and americium. Typically, americium contributes only a small fraction of the total alpha activity release from RFP.

Processes ventilated from several exhaust systems potentially exhibit trace quantities of tritium contamination. Impingers-type samplers are used to collect samples three times each week from the monitored locations. Tritium concentrations in the sample are measured using a liquid scintillation photospectrometer.

The calibration methodology for the beryllium analyses was changed beginning with the September 1990 samples to improve quality assurance. The previous procedure used the single-point, "simple method of additions," one of the methods recommended by the manufacturer of the graphite furnace atomic absorption analytical equipment. The current method is based on EPA Contract Laboratory Program protocol. It uses multi-point calibration curves, periodic validation of the curve with EPA validation standards, and periodic blank and sample checks to assure absence of equipment contamination and matrix effects during the analysis.

Tables 1 through 3 show monitoring results for radioactive and nonradioactive airborne effluents continuously sampled from plant buildings.

Page 2-2 March 1993




Figure 1: Radiological Effluent Air Sampling System

Table 1
Plutonium and Americium Airborne Effluent Data

	Plutonium-239, -240 (2/12/93 - 3/16/93)						Americium-241 (1/15/93 - 2/12/93)						
Month	Reid (µ	ea: Ci		C Maximum (pCi/m³)			Release (μ <u>Ci)</u>			C Maximum ( <u>pCi/m³)</u>			
CY92	0.3841	±	0.0552	0.0016	±	0.0003	0.2457	±	0.0493	0.0012	±	0.0002	
1993				:									
January	0.0325	±	0.0043a,b	0.0006	±	0.0001	0.0060	±	0.0028ª	0.0000	±	0.0000	
February	0.0194	±	0.0035a,b	0.0003	±	0.0001	0.0070	±	0.0029a,b	0.0000	±	0.0000	
March	0.0065	±	0.0023¢	0.0003	±	0.0001							
April										,			
Мау													
June													
July													
August									,				
September													
October										<b>:</b> '			
November				· .									
December				,									
Year to Date	0.0584	±	0.0101	0.0006	±	0.0001	0.0130	±	0.0057	0.0000	±	0.0000	

Page 2-4 March 1993

The data for eight locations in January and February were missing because of failure of Quality Assurance Criteria and were not available because no additional sample remained for analysis. Best estimates of release activities for these samples were included in the Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report.

b Previously reported as incomplete laboratory analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The data for four plutonium locations are missing due to failure of Quality Assurance Criteria. The samples are being rerun.

Table 2
Uranium Airborne Effluent Data

		ranium-2: /12/93 -	•			Uranium-238 (2/12/93 - 3/16/93)					
<u>Month</u>	Rei (µ	lea: .CI		C M	axin 21/m			lea: .Ci		C Maxii (pCi/n	
CY92	0.3380	±	0.1078	0.0041	±	0.0006	0.5996	±	0.1160	0.0023 ±	0.0005
1993											
January	0.0234	±	0.0076	0.0001	±	0.0000	0.0526	±	0.0089	0.0004 ±	0.0001
February	0.0437	±	0.0097a	0.0001	±	0.0000	0.0550	±	0.0093a	0.0001 ±	0.0001
March	0.0559	±	0.0109	0.0001	±	0.0000	0.0733	±	0.0110	0.0001 ±	0.0001
April											
May T											
June											
July											
August											
September										. !	
October											
November											
December				•							
Year to Date	0.1231	±	0.0281	0.0001	±	0.0000	0.1808	±	0.0291	0.0004 ±	0.0001

March 1993 Page 2-5

a Previously reported as incomplete laboratory analysis.

Tritium and Beryllium Airborne Effluent Data

	Trit <u>(2/26/9</u>	lum (l 3-3/2	•	Beryllium (2/12/93-3/16/93)
Month	Release (mCi)		aximum :[/m³)	Release C Maximum (grams) (ug/m³)
CY92	3.7991	117	± 11	0.6156 ± 0.0443 0.00066
1993				
January	0.1886	51	± 7	$0.0280^{a} \pm 0.0019 \qquad 0.00038$
February	0.8773	91	± 7	b
March	0.4421¢	32	± 7	, <b>b</b>
April				
Мау				
June				•
July				
August				
September				
October				
November				
December				
Year to Date	1.5080	91	± 7	0.0280 ± 0.0019 0.00038

NOTE: Beryllium measured at the remaining 44 locations was below the screening level of 0.1 gram per month. Beryllium emissions from Rocky Flats Plant are regulated by the State of Colorado under Colorado Air Quality Control Regulation #8. The limit for beryllium air emissions is 10 grams per stationary source in a 24-hour period. No blank corrections are made to any beryllium data.

Page 2-6 March 1993

a Previously reported as incomplete laboratory analysis.

b Incomplete laboratory analysis.

c The data for five Tritium locations are missing due to failure of Quality Assurance Criteria. The samples are being rerun. See Highlights section for additional information.

#### 2.2 Ambient

Ambient air samplers monitor plutonium concentrations in air in the surrounding environment. This monitoring is performed in accordance with DOE Order 5400.1. The data are used to determine the air-inhalation dose to the public for comparison with the DOE standard of 100 millirem per year effective dose equivalent from all modes of exposure from routine plant operations.

Samplers are designated in three categories by their proximity to the main facilities area. Twenty-three onsite samplers are located within RFP, generally downwind of RFP production facilities areas and near areas of known plutonium contamination. Fourteen perimeter samplers border RFP along major highways on the north (Highway 128), east (Indiana Street), south (Highway 72), and west (Highway 93) (Figure 2). Eleven community samplers are located in metropolitan areas adjacent to RFP (Figure 3).

Samplers operate continuously at a volumetric flow rate of approximately 0.84 cubic meters per minute, collecting air particulates on 20- by 25-centimeter fiberglass filters. Manufacturer's test specifications rate this filter media to be 99.97 percent efficient for relevant particle sizes under conditions typically encountered in routine ambient air sampling.

Ambient air filters are collected biweekly and composited monthly by location before isotopic analysis. All routine ambient air filters are analyzed for plutonium-239 and -240.

Tables 4 through 6 summarize environmental monitoring data from the RFP ambient air sampling network.

March 1993 Page 2-7




Figure 2: Location of Onsite and Perimeter Air Samplers

Page 2-8 March 1993




Figure 3: Location of Community Air Samplers

Table 4
Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers

(2/15/93-3/15/93)

5 percent nce Interval <u> Ci/m</u> 3)				
.000001				
.000008				
•				
.000032				
.000002				
.000003				
.000001				
.000001				
.000003				
.000003				
.000004				
.000005				
.000004				
.000003				
.000001				

.000002

.000002

35097

S-24

S-25<sup>b</sup> S-81<sup>b</sup>

Page 2-10 March 1993

a These samplers were out of service

b Incomplete laboratory analysis.

Table 5
Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers

(2/16/93-3/16/93)

Location	Volume <u>(m</u> 3)	Plutonium Concentration <u>(pCl/m</u> 3)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval <u>(pCi/m³)</u>
S-31	33106	.000000	.000001
S-32	32609	.000000	.00001
S-33	33005	00001	.000001
S-34	31666	.000001	.00001
S-35	33685	.000000	.00001
S-36	29250	.000000	.00001
S-37	30136	.000000	.000001
S-38	30373	.000001	.000001
S-39	33479	.000001	.00001
S-40	29732	.000001	.00001
S-41	30796	.000001	.00001
S-42	28142	.000002	.000002
S-43	31848	000001	.000001
S-44	28404	.000000	.000001

March 1993 Page 2-11

Table 6

# Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Community Samplers

#### (2/17/93-3/17/93)

Location	Community <u>Name</u>	Volume ( <u>m</u> 3)	Piutonium Concentration ( <u>pCl/m</u> 3)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval ( <u>pCl/m</u> ³)
S-51	Marshall	28626	.000000	.000001
S-52	Jeffco Airport	27393	.00001	.000002
S-53	Superior	30918	.000001	.000001
S-54	Boulder	32636	.000001	.000001
S-55a	Lafayette			
S-56	Broomfield	30022	.000001	.000001
S-57a	Walnut Creek			
S-58	Wagner	30882	.000000	.000001
S-59	Leyden	32633	.000001	.000001
S-61b	Denver	•		
S-62	Golden	33114	.000000	.000001
S-68	Lakeview Pointe	33950	.000001	.000001
S-73	Cotton Creek	27820	.000000	.000001

Page 2-12 March 1993

a This sampler was damaged beyond repair and must be replaced.

Sampler S-61 located in Denver was inoperative during this period. This sampler has been temporarily removed because of construction activities on the building where it is installed.

### 3. Water

#### 3.1 Radionuclide

RFP samples for and analyzes radionuclides that may be present in the plant surface water control ponds and drinking water reservoirs. Radionuclide standards for discharge of surface water effluents are given in DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment." In addition, the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission has issued stream segment standards for drainages downstream of RFP. These standards address both radioactive and nonradioactive parameters.

Water sampling is performed at several locations at RFP. These include ponds A-4, B-5, C-1, and C-2 as well as Walnut Creek at Indiana Street. Daily samples are collected during discharges or periods of flow for these locations, and composited into weekly samples. Analyses are then performed for plutonium, americium, and uranium isotopic concentrations.

Water sampling results for radioactive constituents are given in Tables 7 through 10.

March 1993 Page 3-1




Figure 4: Holding Pond and Liquid Effluent Water Courses

Table 7

### Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutoniun	n-239	240	Americ	lun	1-241
Pond A-4						
03/27/93 - 04/02/93	0.001	±	0.001		a	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.001	±	0.001		a	
Pond B-5 - No discharge						
Pond C-1						
02/27/93 - 03/05/93	-0.006	±	0.007	0.010	±	0.012
03/06/93 - 03/12/93	0.005	±	0.009	0.001	±	0.009
03/13/93 - 03/19/93	0.006	<b>±</b>			а	
03/20/93 - 03/26/93	0.007	±	0.004		а	
03/27/93 - 04/02/93	0.003	±	0.003		a	
Average concentration	0.003	±	0.005		a	
Pond C-2 - No discharge				٠.		
Walnut Creek at Indiana						
03/28/93 - 04/02/93	0.024	±	0.005		·a	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.024	. ±	0.005		а	

March 1993 Page 3-3

a Incomplete laboratory analysis.

Table 8
Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCl/l)

Location	<u> Uranium-233234</u>			<u> Uranium-238</u>			
Pond A-4 03/27/93 - 04/02/93	1.04	±	0.15	1.25	±	0.16	
Volume weighted average concentration	1.04	±	0.15	1.25	±	0.16	
Pond B-5 - No discharge							
Pond C-1 02/27/93 - 03/05/93 03/06/93 - 03/12/93 03/13/93 - 03/19/93 03/20/93 - 03/26/93 03/27/93 - 04/02/93  Average concentration	1.10 1.32 1.06	± ± a a ±	0.16 0.20 0.15	0.75 0.72 0.69	± ± a a ±	0.12 0.13 0.11	
Pond C-2 - No discharge						,	
<u>Wainut Creek at Indiana</u> 03/28/93 - 04/02/93	1.00 <sub>1.3</sub>	<b>.</b>	0.19	0.95	±	0.18	
Volume weighted average concentration	1.00	±	0.19	0.95	±	0.18	

a Incomplete laboratory analysis.

Table 9

### Onsite Water Sample Results - Tritium

Tritlum (pCi/I)

Location	Number of <u>Samples</u>	C Mi	nimum	C Maximum	C Average
Pond A-4a	5	10	± 80	190 ± 90	120 ± 40
Pond C-1	5	-250	± 90	400 ± 100	60 ± 100
Walnut at Indianaa	<b>5</b> .	-50	± 90	90 ± 90	40 ± 40

Volume weighted average concentration.

#### 3.2 Nonradionuclide

RFP conducts sitewide surface water sampling programs to monitor discharges from detention ponds, evaluate potential contaminant releases, and characterize baseline water quality. Nonradioactive parameters requirements for this monitoring are derived from the RFP EPA National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit as modified in March 1991, by a Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement (FFCA). The NPDES/FFCA permit sets limits for nonradioactive pollutants in effluent water from federal facilities.

The EPA has issued to the RFP an NPDES permit for control of surface water discharges. The RFP NPDES permit establishes effluent limitations for seven surface water discharge points, which may discharge into drainages leading off of the RFP.

Water sampling results associated with the NPDES/FFCA permit are reported in Table 10. Applicable NPDES/FFCA limits are included in Table 10 for comparison. Monitoring results for which no limits have been established under the NPDES/FFCA are reported in Table 11. Analytical results for nonradioactive parameters in water at Walnut Creek at the Indiana Street location are summarized in Table 12.

Page 3-6 March 1993

### NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results

Discharge 001-A (Pond B-3) Discharged continuously from 03/01
---

<i>Parameters</i> Nitrate	mg/l	Measured 30-Day <u>Average</u> 1.4	Limit 30-Day <u>Average</u> 10	Measured Max. 7-Day <u>Average</u> 2.2	Limit Max. 7-Day <u>Average</u> 20
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	·	Measured <u>Maximum</u> 0.08	Limit <b>Maximum</b> 0.5	
Discharge 001-B (S	Sewage Ti	reatment Plant)	Discharged contin	uously from 03/01/93	3 - 03/31/93.
<i>Parameters</i> CBOD <sub>5</sub>	mg/l	Measured 30-Day <u>Average</u> 2	Limit 30-Day <u>Average</u> 10	Measured <u>Maximum</u> 6	Limit <u>Maximum</u> 25
Total Phosphorus Total Chromium	mg/l mg/l	1.0 <0.005	8 0.05	1.6 <0.005	12 0.10
Fecal Coliforms	#/100 ml	Measured 30-Day Average 2 (Geometric)	Limit 30-Day Average 200 (Geometric)	Measured Max. 7-Day Average 6 (Geometric)	Limit Max. 7-Day Average 400 (Geometric)
Total Suspended Solids pH	mg/l SU	6 <b>Measured</b> <u>Minimum</u> 6.8	30 Limit Minimum 6.0	8 Measured <u>Maximum</u> 7.5	45 Limit <u>Maximum</u> 9.0
Oil and Grease		<i>Observed</i> <u>Sheen</u> No visual	<i>Limit</i> <u>Sheen</u> No visual		
Discharge 002 (Por	nd A-3)	Pond discharged	continuously 03/05/9	3 - 03/08/93.	
<i>Parameters</i> Nitrates as N	mg/l	Measured 30-Day <u>Average</u> 3	Limit 30-Day <u>Average</u> 10	Measured <u>Maximum</u> 4	Limit <b>Maximum</b> 20
рН	SU	Measured <u>Minimum</u> 7.4	Limit <u>Minimum</u> 6.0	Measured <u>Maximum</u> 8.0	Limit <u>Maximum</u> 9.0

### NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results (Continued)

Discharge 005 (Pond A-4) Pond discharged continuously 03/27/93 - 03/31/93.

Discharge 003 (RO Pilot Plant) and Discharge 004 (RO Plant) are inactive outfalls and will be eliminated from the new NPDES permit.

Parameters Total Chromium	mg/l		Measured <u>Maximum</u> <0.005	Limit <u>Maximum</u> 0.05	
Discharge 006 (Pond	B-5)	No discharge.	,		
<i>Parameters</i> Nitrate as N	mg/l	Measured 30-Day <u>Average</u>	Limit 30-Day <u>Average</u> 10	Measured Max. 7-Day <u>Maximum</u>	Limit Max. 7-Day <u>Maximum</u> 20
Total Residual Chlorine <sup>a</sup> Total Chromium	mg/l mg/l		Measured <u>Maximum</u>	Limit <u>Maximum</u> 0.5 0.05	

Discharge 007 (Pond C-2) No discharge.

ParametersMeasured<br/>MaximumLimit<br/>MaximumTotal Chromiummg/l0.05

Page 3-8 March 1993

These parameters are measured only in the event that Waste Water Treatment Plant effluent bypasses Pond B-3 and flows directly into Pond B-5.

### NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring

Discharge 001-A (Pond B-3) Pond discharged continously 03/01/93 - 03/31/93.

Parameters		Measured Maximum	Measured 30-Day <u>Averade</u>
<u>Lataillatata</u>		MUAIIII	TITLEME
BOD <sub>5</sub>	mg/f	. 17	8
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	mg/l	3.6	2.6
5			
Total Suspended Solids	ma/l		

Discharge 001-B (Sewage Treatment Plant [STP]) Discharged continuously from 02/01/93 - 02/28/93.

	1.37 0.03
••	
	,

		Measured
		30-Day
		<u>Average</u>
Metals	ug/l	
Antimony		<21
Arsenic		<1.5
Beryllium		<1.0
Cadmium		<5.0
Copper		<5.0
Iron		149
Lead		2.8
Manganese		47.4
Mercury	·	<0.2
Nickel		<24
Silver		<0.2
Zinc		21.1
Metals were sampled	on 03/03/93 and 03/17/93	

		POL_b	Concentrations that were above <u>PQL</u>	
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) Chloroform Chloroform	ug/l	5 ug/l 5 ug/l	5 ug/l 6 ug/l	sampled 03/03/93 sampled 03/17/93

### NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring (Continued)

Discharge 003 (Reverse Osmosis Pilot Plant) and Discharge 004 (Reverse Osmosis Plant) are inactive outfalls and will be eliminated from the new NPDES permit.

#### Discharge 005 (Pond A-4)

Pond discharged continuously 03/27/93 - 03/31/93

Whole Effluent Toxicity\*

Ceriodaphnia

% EFF to LC<sub>50</sub>:

>100

Fathead Minnows

% EFF to LC<sub>50</sub>:

>100

#### Discharge 006 (Pond B-5)

No Discharge

Whole Effluent Toxicity\*

Ceriodaphnia

% EFF to LC50:

Fathead Minnows

% EFF to LC<sub>50</sub>:

#### Discharge 007 (Pond C-2)

No Discharge

Whole Effluent Toxicity\*

Ceriodaphnia

% EFF to LC50:

Fathead Minnows

% EFF to LC<sub>50</sub>:

Results for whole effluent toxicity are given in percentage of effluent sample that will cause mortality to half the test result organisms within the time frame of the test. For example, >100 percent indicates that 100 percent pure effluent did not cause acute toxicity to at least half of the organisms. A lower percentage LC<sub>50</sub> (lethal concentration to 50 percent of test organisms) indicates a greater toxic effect since less of the sample is required to observe a sufficiently extensive adverse effect.

b PQL is the Practical Quantitation Limit. It is equal to 10 times the Method Detection Limit and represents the quantity at which 70 percent of laboratories can report in the 95 percent confidence interval.

### Water Sample Results, Nonradioactive Parameters

Walnut Creek at Indiana Street

		Number of			
<u>Parameters</u>		Samples	C Minimum	C Maximum	C Average
рН	SU ·	5	7.14	8.5	N/A
Nitrates as N	mg/l	5	1.05	1.96	1.48

Flow was measured from 3/27/93 - 03/31/93.

March 1993

### 3.3 Flow

Daily flow data for surface water from the two plant drainage systems (Walnut Creek and Woman Creek) are given in Tables 13 and 14. The current NPDES/FFCA permit requires flow measurement for terminal ponds when discharged offsite (A-4, B-5, and C-2). Other flow data are reported for informational purposes.

Daily flow data for water transferred from Pond B-5 to Pond A-4, for subsequent discharge offsite, are given in Table 15. Meteorological data are given in Tables 16 and 17.

Page 3-12 March 1993

Table 13

Daily Flow Data Recorded at the Walnut Creek at Indiana Gaging Station, Ponds A-4 and B-5

<u>Date</u>	Wainut Creek at Indiana (Gailons)	Pond A-4 (Gallons)	Pond B-5 (Gallons)
03/01/93	No Flow	No Discharge	No Discharge
03/02/93		1	1
03/03/93			
03/04/93			
03/05/93			
03/06/93			
03/07/93			,
03/08/93			
03/09/93			· .
03/10/93			
03/11/93			
03/12/93			1
03/13/93	1		
03/14/93	1	ł	<u>'</u>
03/15/93		i	
03/16/93			
03/17/93		,	
03/18/93		·	
03/19/93			
03/20/93		i	
03/21/93			
03/22/93		· ·	
03/23/93			
03/24/93	İ		
03/25/93	ı	·	. ]
03/26/93	No Flow	No Discharge	
03/27/93	725,000	1,769,000	
03/28/93	1,576,000	1,961,000	
03/29/93	1,384,000	1,654,000	,
03/30/93	1,489,000	1,332,000	I
03/31/93	1,814,000	1,340,000	No Discharge
Total	6,988,000	8,056,000	No Discharge

March 1993 Page 3-13

Table 14

Daily Flow Data Recorded at Ponds C-1 and C-2 (Woman Creek)

<u>Date</u>	Pond C-1 (Gallons)	Pond C-2 (Gallons)
03/01/93	210,000	No Discharge
03/02/93	186,000	
03/03/93	150,000	
03/04/93	150,000	
03/05/93	150,000	
03/06/93	150,000	
03/07/93	150,000	
03/08/93	150,000	
03/09/93	150,000	
03/10/93	151,000	
03/11/93	151,000	
03/12/93	151,000	
03/13/93	151,000	
03/14/93	150,000	
03/15/93	150,000	]
03/16/93	150,000	
03/17/93	150,000	
03/18/93	150,000	
03/19/93	150,000	
03/20/93	150,000	
03/21/93	150,000	
03/22/93	150,000	
03/23/93	150,000	
03/24/93	150,000	
03/25/93	150,000	
03/26/93	150,000	
03/27/93	150,000	
03/28/93	150,000	Ì
03/29/93	150,000	
03/30/93	, 150,000	
03/31/93	150,000	No Discharge
Total	4,750,000	No Discharge

Page 3-14 March 1993

Table 15

Daily Transfer Flow Data Recorded for Pond B-5 to Pond A-4

Date	Pond B-5 to Pond A-4 (Gallons)
03/01/93	1,093,000
03/02/93	1.128,000
03/03/93	1,037,000
03/04/93	1,000,000
03/05/93	1,043,000
03/06/93	1,025,000
03/07/93	1,100,000
03/08/93	645,000
03/09/93	No Transfer
03/10/93	1
03/11/93	
03/12/93	
03/13/93	
03/14/93	į
03/15/93	·
03/16/93	
03/17/93	
03/18/93	<b> </b> '
03/19/93	
03/20/93	
03/21/93	
03/22/93	
03/23/93	
03/24/93	
03/25/93	·
03/26/93	·
03/27/93	· ·
03/28/93	
03/29/93	·
03/30/93	•
03/31/93	No Transfer
Total	8,071,000

## Table 7 - Errata February 1992

# Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

#### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutoniun	n-239	Americium-241	
<u>Pond_A-4</u> 02/15/92 - 02/21/92		а		-0.001 ± 0.002
Volume weighted average concentration		а		-0.001 ± 0.002
Pond B-5 - No discharge				
Pond C-1 02/01/92 - 02/07/92 02/08/92 - 02/14/92 02/15/92 - 02/21/92 02/22/92 - 02/28/92  Average concentration  Pond C-2 - No discharge	0.012 0.025 0.005 -0.015 0.007	± ± ± ±	0.002 0.004 0.003 0.005	0.004 ± 0.002 0.000 ± 0.002 -0.002 ± 0.002 0.002 ± 0.002 0.001 ± 0.002
<b>Wainut Creek at Indiana</b> 02/15/92 - 02/22/92	0.000	±	0.001	-0.004 ± 0.002
Volume weighted average concentration	0.000	±	0.001	-0.004 ± 0.002

Page 3-16 March 1993

First aliquot failed QA/QC criteria; insufficient sample for rerun. No data will be available for this location and date.

### Table 7 - Errata March 1992

### Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutoniun	n-239	240	Americium-241		
Pond A-4			;			
03/14/92 - 03/20/92		a,		0.012	±	0.003
03/21/92 - 03/27/92	0.003	±	0.001c	0.001	±	0.002c
03/28/92 - 04/03/92	0.004	±	0.002	0.006	±	0.002
Volume weighted average concentration	0.002	±	0.001¢	0.006	±	0.001¢
Pond B-5 - No discharge						
Pond C-1						
02/29/92 - 03/06/92	0.000	±	0.008	-0.001	±	0.002
03/07/92 - 03/13/92	0.003	±	0.001	0.002	±	0.002
03/14/92 - 03/20/92		а		0.000	±	0.001
03/21/92 - 03/27/92	0.006	±	0.002c	0.002	±	0.002c
03/28/92 - 04/03/92	0.004	±	0.002	0.006	±	0.002
Average concentration	0.003	±	0.003¢	0.002	±	0.002¢
Pond C-2				•		
03/24/92 - 03/27/92		а			а	
03/28/92 - 04/03/92	0.032	±	0.006	0.003	±	0.002
Volume weighted average concentration	0.032	±	0.006c	0.003	±	0.002¢
Wainut Creek at Indiana			. ·			. •
03/05/92 - 03/06/92 <sup>b</sup>	-0.011	±	0.004	-0.003	±	0.002
03/06/92 - 03/13/92 <sup>b</sup>	0.005	±	0.002	0.006	±	0.003
03/14/92 - 03/20/92	-0.003	±	0.007c	0.005	±	0.003
03/21/92 - 03/27/92	0.014	±	0.003	-0.001	±	0.002¢
_ 03/28/92 - 04/03/92	-0.013	±	0.008¢	0.014	±	0.003¢
Volume weighted average concentration	0.000	±	0.003c	0.006	±	0.002¢

Sample aliquot failed QA/QC criteria; insufficient sample for rerun. No data will be available for this location and date.

b Flow from precipitation events.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Previously reported incomplete.

Table 8 - Errata March 1992

## Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	<u> Uranium-233234</u>			<u>Uranium-238</u>			
Pond A-4							
03/14/92 - 03/20/92	0.89	±	0.11	1.05	±	0.12	
03/21/92 - 03/27/92	0.80	±	0.10a	0.85	±	0.10a	
03/28/92 - 04/03/92	1.11	±	0.14	0.87	±	0.12	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.93	±	0.07ª	0.92	±	0.07ª	
Pond B-5 - No discharge							
Pond C-1							
02/29/92 - 03/06/92	0.73	±	0.11	0.41	±	0.08	
03/07/92 - 03/13/92	0.73	±	0.17 0.07a	0.18	±	0.06a	
03/14/92 - 03/20/92	0.28	±	0.09	0.25	±	0.07	
03/21/92 - 03/27/92	0.20	±	0.03 0.07a	0.13	±	0.05ª	
03/28/92 - 04/03/92	0.79	±	0.11	1.40	±	0.15	
Average concentration	0.48	±	0.07ª	0.47	±	0.08ª	
Pond C-2							
03/24/92 - 03/27/92	0.96	±	0.12a	1.52	±	0.15a	
03/28/92 - 04/03/92	0.79	±	0.11	1.40	±	0.15	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.84	±	0.08 <sup>a</sup>	1.44	±	0.11ª	
Walnut Creek at Indiana			T.				
03/05/92 - 03/06/92b	0.73	±	0.10	0.63	±	0.10	
03/07/92 - 03/13/92 <sup>b</sup>	0.61	±	0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.35	±	0.07a	
03/14/92 - 03/20/92.	0.79	±	0.11	0.76	±	0.10	
03/21/92 - 03/27/92	0.97	±	0.12 <sup>a</sup>	0.86	±	0.11a	
03/28/92 - 04/03/92	1.24	±	0.14	1.27	±	0.14	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.93	±	0.06a	0.86	±	0.06a	

a Previously reported incomplete.

b Flow from precipitation events.

Table 9 - Errata March 1992

## Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

#### Reservoirs (pCi/i)

Location	Number of <u>Samples</u>	<u>Plutoniur</u>	n-23	Americium-241				
Great Western Standley Lake	1a 1a	0.004 -0.001	± ±	0.007 0.003a	0.011 -0.001	± ±	0.005 0.003	
Clandley Lake		Community Ta	_		0.001	. =		
Boulder Broomfield Westminster Arvada Denver Golden Lafayette Louisville	1a 1a 1a 1 1 1	0.007 0.005 0.016 0.004 -0.002 -0.002 0.002	± ± ± ± ± ± ±	0.006 0.010 0.009 0.002 0.001 0.002 0.002	-0.004 -0.002 0.001 -0.003 -0.004 -0.001 0.000 -0.004	± ± ± ± ± ± ±	0.002 0.003 0.004 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002	
Thornton	1	-0.002	±	0.001	-0.005	±	0.001	

Plutonium and Americium analyses were performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.

b Previously reported incomplete.

### Table 11 - Errata March 1992

## Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritium

#### Tritium (pCi/I)

	Number of	-								•
Location	<u>Samples</u>	C Minimum		C Maximum			C Average			
Pond A-4b	. 16	-330	±	90a	770	±	100a	30	±	20a
Pond C-1	4	-50	±	90a	30	±	80a	-10	±	40a
Pond C-2b	7	-190	±	90a	50	±	100a	-40	±	30a
Boulder	3c	20	±	90a	110	. ±	100a	90	±	100a
Broomfield	3c	0	±	90a	100	±	80a	20	±	90a
Great Western	3c	-100	±	80a	40	±	90a	-20	±	90a
Standley Lake	3c	-50	±	90a	50	±	80a	-10	±	90a
Westminster	3c	-120	±	80a	0	±	90a	-60	±	90a
Walnut at Indianab	25	-660	±	150a	300	±	100a	-70	±	20a
Arvada	1	-20	±	90a	-20	±	90a	-20	±	90a
Denver	1	-30	±	90a	-30	±	90a	-30	±	90a
Golden	1	70	±	90	70	±	90	70	±	90
Lafayette	1	-40	±	90	-40	±	90	-40	±	70
Louisville	1	70	±	90	70	±	90	70	±	90
Thornton	1	-20	±	90a	-20	±	90a	-20	±	90a

a Previously reported incomplete.

b Volume weighted average concentration.

CA/QC criteria for sample collected 3/12/92 failed; no data will be available for that date. Average reflects only three grab samples instead of four, which are routinely collected each month.

### Table 7 - Errata April 1992

## Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutonium-239240			Americium-241			
Pond A-4	• • • •						
04/04/92 - 04/05/92	0.002	±	0.003	0.003	±	0.003	
04/10/92 - 04/16/92	0.005	±	0.003a	0.005	±	0.010a	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.004	·±	0.002ª	0.004	±	0.006a	
Pond B-5 - No discharge						·	
Pond C-1							
04/04/92 - 04/10/92	0.005	±	0.003a	-0.002	±	0.007a	
04/11/92 - 04/17/92		b		,	b		
04/18/92 - 04/24/92	-0.002	±	0.010	0.005	±	0.002	
04/25/92 - 05/01/92	0.007	±	0.005a	-0.003	±	0.007a	
Average concentration	0.003	±	0.006a	0.000	±	0.005a	
Pond C-2							
04/04/92 - 04/07/92	0.010	±	0.004	0.002	±	0.003	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.010	±	0.004	0.002	±	0.003	
Walnut Creek at Indiana c							
04/04/92 - 04/10/92	0.003	±	0.002	0.002	±	0.003	
04/11/92 - 04/17/92	-0.002	±	0.001a	0.019	±	0.011ª	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.000	±	0.010a	0.012	±	0.006a	

a Previously reported incomplete.

Sample aliquot failed QA/QC criteria; insufficient sample for rerun. No data will be available for this location and date.

Samples were collected from 04/06/92-04/09/92 and represent continued spring runoff and residual from discharge.

Table 8 - Errata April 1992

## Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

#### Holding Pond Outfall (pCl/l)

Location	<u> Uranium-233, -234</u>			<u>Uranium-238</u>			
Pond A-4							
04/04/92 - 04/05/92	0.83	±	0.12	1.12	±	0.14	
04/10/92 - 04/16/92	1.04	±	0.13ª	1.16	±	0.14ª	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.96	±	0.09a	1.15	·±	0.10a	
Pond B-5 - No discharge							
Build 64							
Pond C-1							
04/04/92 - 04/10/92	0.40	±	0.09a	0.34	±	0.08a	
04/11/92 - 04/17/92	0.41	±	0.08a	0.30	±	0.07a	
04/18/92 - 04/24/92	0.60	±	0.10	0.32	±	0.08	
04/25/92 - 05/01/92	0.58	±	0.10ª	0.39	±	0.08ª	
Average concentration	0.50	±	0.10a	0.34	±	0.08a	
Pond C-2						,	
04/04/92 - 04/07/92	0.98	±	0.14	1.39	±	0.17	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.98	±	0.14	1.39	±	0.17	
Walnut Creek at Indianab			• .				
04/04/92 - 04/10/92	1.44	±	0.16	1.06	±	0.13	
04/11/92 - 04/17/92	1.15	±	0.14a	1.09	±	0.13a	
Volume weighted average concentration	1.28	±	0.11ª	1.08	±	0.09a	

a Previously reported incomplete.

b Samples were collected from 04/06/92-04/09/92 and represent continued spring runoff and residual from discharge.

## Table 9 - Errata April 1992

## Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

#### Reservoirs (pCi/I)

Location	Number of Samples	Plutonium-239240	Americium-241					
Great Western	1a	-0.014 ± 0.006b	-0.001 ±	0.002b				
Standley Lake	1a	-0.014 ± 0.005b	-0.002 ±	0.002b				
	,	Community Tap Water (pCi/i)	0.000	0 004h				
Boulder	1a	-0.016 ± 0.006b	-0.003 ±	0.001b				
Broomfield	1a	-0.013 ± 0.007b	-0.004 ±					
Westminster	1ª	-0.022 ± 0.006 <sup>b</sup>	-0.004 ±	0.001b				

a Plutonium and Americium analyses were performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.

Previously reported incomplete.

Table 10 - Errata April 1992

# Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

#### Reservoirs (pCi/I)

Location	Number of Samples	Uranium-2	23:	3 <u>, -234</u>	<u>Uran</u>	ium:	·238
Great Western	1a	0.35	±	0.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.34	±	0.06b
Standley Lake	<b>1a</b>	0.60	ŧ	0.09 <sup>b</sup>	0.47	±	0.08 <sup>b</sup>
	•	Community Tap	Wa	ater (pCI/I)			
Boulder	1a	-0.21	±	0.04b	-0.16	±	0.04b
Broomfield	1a	0.06	±	0.06b	0.10	±	0.05b
Westminster	1ª	0.19	±	0.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.18	±	0.06b

Uranium analyses were performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.

b Previously reported incomplete.

# Table 11 - Errata April 1992

# Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritiuma

#### Tritlum (pCI/I)

Location	Number of <u>Samples</u>	C Minimum			C Maximum			C Average			
Pond A-4b	4	-30	±	90	280	: ±	90	60	±	50	
Pond C-1	4	-120	±	220	110	±	90	10	±	100	
Boulder	5	-50	±	90	0	±	90	-10	±	100	
Broomfield	5	-190	±	80	-80	±	80	-120	±	90	
Great Western	5	240	±	90	-20	±	80	70	±	100	
Standley Lake	5	-90	±	90	80	±	80	-10	±	100	
Westminster	5	-20	±	90	390	±	100	110	±	100	
Walnut at Indianab	16	-160	±	110	320	±	90	80	±	30	

a Previously reported incomplete.

b Volume weighted average concentration.

Table 7 - Errata May 1992

# Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutonium-239, -240		-240	Americium-241			
Pond A-4			·				
05/23/92 - 05/29/92	0.000	±	0.002	0.004	±	0.003	
05/30/92 - 06/05/92	-0.004	±	0.006a	0.008	±	0.010a	
Volume weighted average concentration	-0.001	±	0.003a	0.005	±	0.005a	
Pond B-5 - No discharge							
Pond C-1							
05/02/92 - 05/08/92	0.010	±	0.003	0.004	±	0.002	
05/09/92 - 05/15/92	0.023	+	0.012a	0.002	±	0.002	
05/16/92 - 05/22/92	0.025	±	0.008	-0.001	±	0.002	
05/23/92 - 05/29/92	0.007	±	0.004	0.001	±	0.002	
05/30/92 - 06/05/92	0.002	±	0.002a	0.003	±	0.002a	
Average concentration	0.013	±	0.010a	0.002	±	0.002a	
Pond C-2 - No discharge						·	
Walnut Creek at Indiana							
05/24/92 - 05/29/92	0.078	±	0.014	0.032	±	0.006	
05/30/92 - 06/05/92	0.000	ŧ	0.002a	0.000	±	0.001a	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.033	±	0.006a	0.014	±	0.003a	

a Previously reported incomplete.

Table 8 - Errata May 1992

# Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

#### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	<u> Uranium-233, -234</u>		<u> Uranium-238</u>			
Pond A-4 05/23/92 - 05/29/92	1.14	±	0.11ª	1.27	±	0.12a
05/30/92 - 06/05/92	1.54	±	0.21ª	1.28	±	0.17a
Volume weighted average concentration	1.09	±	0.12a	1.07	±	0.10a
Pond B-5 - No discharge						
Pond C-1				•		
05/02/92 - 05/08/92	0.84	±	0.12	0.57	±	0.09
05/09/92 - 05/15/92	0.61	±	0.10a	0.56	±	0.09a
05/16/92 - 05/22/92	0.96	±	0.09a	0.76	±	0.08a
05/23/92 - 05/29/92	0.85	±	0.09a	0.64	±	0.08a
05/30/92 - 06/05/92	0.56	±	0.07ª	0.43	. <b>±</b>	0.06ª
Average concentration	0.76	±	0.17a	0.59	±	0.12ª
Pond C-2 - No discharge						
Walnut Creek at Indiana						
05/23/92 - 05/29/92	1.34	±.	0.12a	1.46	±	0.12a
05/30/92 - 06/05/92	1.30	±, ±	0.12- 0.16a	1.06	±	0.12- 0.14a
00/00/02 00/00/0E	1.00	_	J. 10-	1.00	-	J. 17"
Volume weighted average concentration	1.04	±	0.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.01	±	0.10ª

a Previously reported incomplete.

## Table 9 - Errata May 1992

## Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

#### Reservoirs (pCI/I)

Location	Number of <u>Samples</u>	Plutonium-239, -240	Americ	ium-241
Great Western Standley Lake	† 1a 1a	0.005 ± 0.003 0.001 ± 0.002		b 0.005 <sup>b</sup> b 0.002 <sup>b</sup>
		Community Tap Water (pCl/l)		
Boulder Broomfield Westminster	1a 1a 1a	0.000 ± 0.002 0.002 ± 0.002 0.001 ± 0.002	-0.003	b 0.004b 0.003b 0.005b

Page 3-28 March 1993

a Plutonium and Americium analyses were performed on one sample collected 05/14/92.

b Previously reported incomplete.

## Table 10 Errata May 1992

# Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

### Reservoirs (pCi/i)

Location	Number of <u>Samples</u>	Uranium	1-23	<u>3234</u>	<u>Uran</u>	ium-	-238
Great Western	1a	0.60	±	0.06b	0.61	±	0.06b
Standley Lake	†a	0.53	±	0.10	0.51	±	0.09
		Community Tap	w	ater (pCi/l)			
Boulder	<b>1</b> a	-0.20	±	0.04	-0.17	±	0.04
Broomfield	1a	0.05	±	0.06	-0.02	±	0.04
Westminster	1a	0.03	±	0.06	-0.04	±	0.04

a Uranium analyses were performed on one sample collected 05/14/92.

b Previously reported incomplete.

## Table 11 - Errata May 1992

## Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritiuma

#### Tritium (pCI/I)

Location	Number of Samples	of			<u>C N</u>	num	C Average			
Pond A-4b	8	-110	±	90	200	±	100	40	±	30
Pond C-1	4	-160	±	90	80	±	100	-60	±	110
Boulderc	1	-20	±	90	-20	±	90	-20	±	90
Broomfield <sup>c</sup>	1	80	±	90	80	±	90	80	±	90
Great Westernc	1	250	±	100	250	±	100	250	±	100
Standley Lakec	1	20	±	90	20	±	90	20	±	90
Westminsterc	1	40	±	90	40	±	90	40	±	90
Walnut at Indianab	9	-10	±	90	150	±	90	60	±	31

a Previously reported incomplete.

b Volume weighted average concentration.

o Only one sample was collected during May 1992 at these locations.

### Table 7 - Errata June 1992

## Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

#### Holding Pond Outfall (pCl/l)

Location	Plutoniur	n-239	240	Americium-241				
<b>Pond A-4</b> 05/30/92 - 06/05/92	-0.004	±	0.006a	0.008	<b>±</b>	0.010ª		
Volume weighted average concentration	-0.004	±	0.006a	0.008	±	0.010a		
Pond B-5 - No discharge			•					
Pond C-1 05/30/92 - 06/05/92	0.002	±	0.002a	0.003	· ±	0.002ª		
06/06/92 - 06/12/92	-0.005	±	0.006a	-0.002	±	0.008a		
06/13/92 - 06/19/92	0.007	±	0.009a	-0.003	±	0.009a		
06/20/92 - 06/26/92	-0.001	±	0.001a	0.009	±	0.010a		
06/27/92 - 07/ 03/92	0.023	±	0.003	0.005	±	0.002		
Average concentration	0.006	±	0.012ª	0.002	±	0.006a		
Pond C-2 - No discharge								
Walnut Creek at Indiana	•							
05/30/92 - 06/05/92	0.000	±	0.002a	0.000	±	0.001a		
Volume weighted average concentration	0.000	±	0.002a	0.000	±	0.001a		

a Previously reported incomplete.

Table 8 - Errata June 1992

# Onsite Water Sample Results - Uraniuma

Holding Pond Outfall (pCl/l)

Location	<u>Uraniu</u>	m-233	3 <u>234</u>		<u> Uranium-238</u>				
<u>Pond A-4</u> 05/30/92 - 06/05/92	1.54	±	0.21		1.28	±	0.17		
Volume weighted average concentration	1.54	±	0.21		1.28	±	0.17		
Pond B-5 - No discharge			٠	,					
Pond C-1									
05/30/92 - 06/05/92	0.56	±	0.07		0.43	±	0.06		
06/06/92 - 06/12/92	0.70	±	0.09		0.44	±.	0.06		
06/13/92 - 06/19/92	0.54	±	0.10		0.40	±	0.08		
06/20/92 - 06/26/92	0.60	±	0.08		0.51	±	0.07		
06/27/92 - 07/ 03/92	0.45	±	0.09		0.30	±	0.07		
Average concentration	0.57	±	0.11		0.41	±	0.09		
Pond C-2 - No discharge									
Walnut Creek at Indiana									
05/30/92 - 06/05/92	1.30	±	0.16		1.06	±	0.14		
Volume weighted average concentration	1.30	±	0.16		1.06	±	0.14		

a Previously reported incomplete.

### Table 9 - Errata June 1992

## Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

#### Reservoirs (pCi/I)

Location	Number of <u>Samples</u>	Piutonium-239240	Americium-24	<b>§</b> 1
Great Western Standley Lake	1a 1a	0.000 ± 0.002 0.000 ± 0.002	0.000 ± 0.01 -0.002 ± 0.00	
Clandidy Earld	·	Community Tap Water (pCi/l)	0.002 1 0.00	,3
Boulder Broomfield Westminster Arvada Denver Golden Lafayette Louisville	1a 1a 1a 1 1 1	0.003 ± 0.003 0.055 ± 0.008 0.003 ± 0.002 -0.001 ± 0.002 0.001 ± 0.002 0.004 ± 0.003 -0.006 ± 0.007 0.000 ± 0.002	-0.014 ± 0.00 -0.007 ± 0.00 0.002 ± 0.00 0.003 ± 0.00 -0.008 ± 0.00 -0.010 ± 0.00 -0.003 ± 0.00 -0.003 ± 0.00	08 09 09 06 08 08
Thornton	1	0.001 ± 0.003	-0.019 ± 0.00	)5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Plutonium and Americium analyses were performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.

Previously reported incomplete.

### Table 10 - Errata June 1992

## Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium<sup>b</sup>

#### Reservoirs (pCi/I)

Location	Number of Samples	<u> Uranium-</u>	<u> Uranium-238</u>					
Great Western	<b>1</b> a		С			С		
Standley Lake	1a	0.80	±	0.08	0.67	±	0.07	
		Community Tap	W	ater (pCi/i)				
Boulder	1a	-0.02	±	0.01	-0.03	±	0.01	
Broomfield	1a	0.18	±	0.03	0.19	±	0.03	
Westminster	1a	0.27	±	0.08	0.10	±	0.06	
Arvada	1	0.00	±	0.07	0.00	±	0.06	
Denver	1	-0.06	±	0.06	-0.09	±	0.05	
Golden	1	0.10	±	0.07	0.10	±	0.06	
Lafayette	1	-0.09	±	0.06	-0.10	±	0.05	
Louisville	1	-0.20	±	0.06	-0.16	±	0.05	
Thornton	1	0.35	±	0.08	0.28	±	0.07	

Page 3-34 March 1993

a Uranium analyses were performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.

b Previously reported incomplete.

QA/QC criteria failed; insufficient sample for rerun. No data will be available for this location and date.

### Table 11 - Errata June 1992

# Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritiuma

#### Tritium (pCi/I)

Location	Number of Samples	<u>C Minimum</u>		<u>C Ma</u>	um	<u>C Average</u>				
Pond A-4b	5	-120	±	90	90	±	100	-40	±	40
Pond C-1	5	-70	±	90	60	±	100	10	±	50
Boulder	1	-170	±	100	-170	±	100	-170	±	100
Broomfield	4	-150	±	100	-30	±	100	-70	±	90
Great Western	4	-120	±	100	40	±	100	-30	±	100
Standley Lake	4	-170	±	90	110	±	100	-50	<b>±</b> .	100
Westminster	4	-110	±	90	230	±	100	40	±	90
Walnut at Indianab	5	-160	±	90	20	±	100	-80	<u>,</u> ±	40
Arvada	· 1	-30	±	100	-30	±	100	-30	±	100
Denver	1	100	±	100	100	±	100	100	±	100
Golden	1	-150	±	90	-150	±	90	-150	<u>,</u> ±	90
Lafayette	1	-170	±	100	-170	±	100	-170	±	100
Louisville	1	40	±	100	40	±	100	40	±	100
Thornton	1	-140	±	90	-140	±	90	-140	±	90

a Previously reported incomplete.

b Volume weighted average concentration.

## Table 7 - Errata July 1992

# Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutonium-239240		Americlum-241			
Pond A-4 07/11/92 - 07/17/92	0.000 0.002	± ±	0.001 0.002ª	-0.002 0.004	± ±	0.001 0.002ª
07/18/92 - 07/24/92	****	_	0.002=	0.004	±	0.002 0.001a
Volume weighted average concentration	0.001	±	0.0014	0.001	1	0.0014
Pond B-5 - No discharge						
Pond C-1						
07/04/92 - 07/10/92	0.016	±	0.003	0.006 0.006	±	0.002 0.002
07/11/92 - 07/17/92 07/18/92 - 07/24/92	0.019 0.004	± ±	0.003 0.002a	0.000	±	0.002 0.002a
07/25/92 - 07/31/92	0.004	±	0.002ª	-0.001	±	0.002a
Average concentration	0.011	±	0.008a	0.003	±	0.004ª
Pond C-2 - No discharge						
Walnut Creek at Indiana						
07/11/92 - 07/17/92	0.001	±	0.001	0.003	±	0.002
07/18/92 - 07/24/92	-0.005	±	0.008	-0.009	±	0.007a
Volume weighted average concentration	-0.002	±	0.004ª	-0.003	į±,	0.001ª

Page 3-36 March 1993

a Previously reported incomplete.

Table 8 - Errata July 1992

# Onsite Water Sample Results - Uraniuma

### Holding Pond Outfall (pCl/l)

Location	<u>Uraniu</u>	m-23:	Urar	<u> Uranium-238</u>				
Pond A-4								
07/11/92 - 07/17/92	0.63	±	0.10	0.72	±	0.10		
07/18/92 - 07/24/92	0.75	±	0.12	0.99	±	0.13		
Volume weighted average concentration	0.69	±	0.08	0.86	±	0.08		
Pond B-5 - No discharge								
Pond C-1		•		,				
07/04/92 - 07/10/92	0.46	±	0.09	0.45	±	0.08		
07/11/92 - 07/17/92	0.55	±	0.10	0.34	±	0.07		
07/18/92 - 07/24/92	0.44	±	0.09	0.25	±	0.07		
07/25/92 - 07/31/92	0.62	±	0.10	0.44	±	0.08		
Average concentration	0.52	±	0.08	0.37	±	0.09		
Pond C-2 - No discharge								
						•		
Walnut Creek at Indiana								
07/12/92 - 07/17/92	0.51	±	0.10	0.53	±	0.09		
07/18/92 - 07/24/92	0.65	±	0.11	0.75	±	0.11		
Volume weighted average concentration	0.58	±,	0.08	0.65	±	0.07		

a Previously reported incomplete.

## Table 9 - Errata July 1992

# Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium<sup>b</sup>

#### Reservoirs (pCi/l)

<u>Location</u>	Number of Samples	Plutonium-239240	Americium-241
Great Western	<b>1a</b>	0.003 ± 0.003	0.002 ± 0.003
Standley Lake	1 <b>a</b>	0.001 ± 0.004	0.001 ± 0.003
·		Community Tap Water (pCi/l)	
Broomfield	1a	0.000 ± 0.002	0.000 ± 0.002
Westminster	1a	$0.015 \pm 0.006$	$-0.006 \pm 0.003$

Page 3-38 March 1993

a Plutonium and Americium analyses were performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.

b Previously reported incomplete.

## Table 10 - Errata July 1992

## Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

#### Reservoirs (pCi/I)

Location	Number of Samples	Uraniun	<u> Uranlum-238</u>						
Great Western Standley Lake	1a 1a	0.23 0.42	± ±	0.08 0.09	0.32 0.28	± . ±	0.08		
	÷		,	,					
	•	Community Tar	W	ater (pCI/I)					
Broomfield	<b>1a</b>	0.13	±	0.03	0.14	±	0.03		
Westminster	. 1a	-0.02	±	0.07	0.04	±	0.06		

Uranium analyses were performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.

b Previously reported incomplete.

# Table 11 - Errata July 1992

### Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritiuma

#### Tritium (pCi/I)

Location	Number of Samples	C N	linim	um	<u>c</u> m	axin	num	<u>c</u> _	Ave	rage
Pond A-4b	14	-60	±	90	240	±	90	60	±	30
Pond C-1	4	-130	±	100	170	±	90	10	±	120
Broomfield	4c	-60	±	90	160	±	100	50	±	90
Great Western	4c	-130	±	90	50	±	90	-10	±	90
Standley Lake	4c	-30	±	90	110	±	90	30	±	90
Westminster	4c	-230	±	90	0	±	90	-70	±	90
Walnut at Indianab	13	-80	±	90	200	±	90	60	±	30

Page 3-40 March 1993

a Previously reported incomplete.

b Volume weighted average concentration.

QA/QC criteria for sample collected 7/30/92 failed; insufficient sample for rerun. No data will be available for that date. Average reflects four grab samples only.

## Table 7 - Errata August 1992

## Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americiuma

#### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutonium-239240		Americ	1-241		
Pond A-4 - No Discharge						
Pond B-5 - No discharge		•				
Pond C-1						
08/24/92 - 08/28/92	0.011	±	0.003	0.014	±	0.006
08/29/92 - 09/04/92	0.014	±	0.007	0.006	±	0.009
Average concentration	0.013	±	0.002	0.006	±	0.009
Pond C-2 - No discharge						
Walnut Creek at Indiana 08/25/92 - 08/26/92 <sup>b</sup>	-0.001	±	0.002	0.008	±	0.003
Volume weighted average concentration	-0.001	±	0.002	0.008	±	0.003

a Previously reported incomplete.

b Sample represents flow from precipitation.

Table 8 - Errata August 1992

# Onsite Water Sample Results - Uraniuma

Holding Pond Outfall (pCl/l)

<u>Location</u>	<u> Uranium-233234</u>			<u>Uranium-238</u>				
Pond A-4 - No Discharge								
Volume weighted average concentration	,							
Pond B-5 - No discharge								
Pond C-1 08/24/92 - 08/28/92	0.84	±	0.13	0.64	±	0.10		
08/29/92 - 09/04/92	1.06	±	0.09	0.81	±	0.08		
Average concentration	0.95	±	0.16	0.73	±	0.12		
Pond C-2 - No discharge								
				•				
<b>Wainut Creek at Indiana</b> 08/25/92 - 08/26/92 <sup>b</sup>	0.23	±	0.07	0.11	±	0.05		
Volume weighted average concentration	0.23	±	0.07	0.11	±	0.05		

a Previously reported incomplete.

b Sample represents precipitation event.

## Table 11 - Errata August 1992

### Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritiuma

### Tritium (pCi/l)

Location	Number of Samples	<u>C M</u>	linim	ıum	<u>C N</u>	laxir	<u>num</u>	<u>c</u> 1	\ve	rage
Broomfield	3d	-190	±	90	80	±	100	-20	±	80
Great Western	3c	-100	±	90	-10	±	90	-40	±	80
Standley Lake	4	-120	±	90	430	±	100	100	±	100
Westminster	2 <sup>e</sup>	30	±	90	60	±	100	40	±	60
Walnut at Indianab	. 2	-10	±	90	60	±	100	20	±	60

a Previously reported incomplete.

Volume weighted average concentration.

QA/QC criteria failed for sample collected 8/6/92; insufficient sample for rerun. No data will be available for this location or date.

QA/QC criteria failed for sample collected 8/20/92; insufficient sample for rerun. No data will be available for this location or date.

QA/QC criteria failed for samples collected 8/6/92 and 8/20/92; insufficient sample for rerun. No data will be available for this location and dates.

## Table 7 - Errata September 1992

# Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutonium	1-239	240	Americ	iun	<u>1-241</u>
Pond A-4						
09/05/92 - 09/11/92	0.000	±	0.002	-0.020	±	0.005a
09/12/92 - 09/18/92	0.000	±	0.001	0.002	±	0.002
09/19/92 - 09/21/92	0.011	±	0.007	-0.016	±	0.005ª
Volume weighted average concentration	0.001	±	0.001ª	-0.010	±	0.002a
Pond B-5 - No discharge						
				•		
Pond C-1	0.000	_	0.003	0.006	±	0.009a
09/05/92 - 09/11/92	0.002 0.032	±		0.000	±	0.003
09/12/92 - 09/17/92		±	0.016	-0.001	±	0.002 0.009a
09/22/92 - 09/25/92	0.019	±	0.010	0.015	±	0.009-
09/27/92 - 10/02/92	0.011	±	0.004	0.015	T	0.004
Average concentration	0.016	±	0.013ª	0.005	±	0.007a
Pond C-2 - No discharge						
Walnut Creek at Indiana						
09/06/92 - 09/11/92	0.008	±	0.004	0.006	±	0.009a
09/12/92 - 09/18/92	0.014	±	0.012	0.005	±	0.002
09/19/92 - 09/22/92	0.014	±	0.006	-0.015	ŧ	0.006ª
Volume weighted average concentration	0.012	±	0.006a	0.001	±	0.003a
Totalile Holgines arelage concentration	3.5.2	-		•	_	

a Previously reported incomplete.

# Table 8 - Errata September 1992

# Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	<u>Uraniu</u>	<u>Urai</u>	<u>Uranium-238</u>				
Pond A-4							
09/05/92 - 09/11/92	0.64	±	0.07a		0.72	±	0.07a
09/12/92 - 09/18/92	0.43	±	0.10		0.43	±	0.09
09/19/92 - 09/21/92	0.37	±	0.10		0.49	±	0.10
Volume weighted average concentration	0.50	±	0.05a		0.56	±	0.05a
Pond B-5 - No discharge	•						3
			٠				
Pond C-1		;					
09/05/92 - 09/11/92	1.24	±	0.11a		1.03	±	$0.09^{a}$
09/12/92 - 09/17/92	1.35	±	0.16		0.97	±	0.12
09/22/92 - 09/25/92	1.09	±	0.16	**	1.06	±	0.15
09/27/92 - 10/02/92	1.05	±	0.15		0.79	±	0.12
Average concentration	1.18	±,	0.14a		0.96	±	0.12ª
Pond C-2 - No discharge					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*	
Walnut Creek at Indiana							
09/06/92 - 09/11/92	0.49	±	0.12		0.48	±	0.11
09/12/92 - 09/18/92	0.45	±	0.10a		0.47	±	0.09a
09/19/92 - 09/22/92	0.37	±	0.10		0.49	±	0.10
Volume weighted average concentration	0.45	±	0.06ª		0.48	±	0.06a

a Previously reported incomplete.

Table 9 - Errata September 1992

# Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium<sup>b</sup>

#### Reservoirs (pCI/I)

<u>Location</u>	Number of <u>Samples</u>	Plutonium-239, -240	Americium-241
Great Western	1a	0.008 ± 0.003 -0.001 ± 0.001	0.003 ± 0.002 0.002 ± 0.002
Standley Lake	<b>1a</b> .	-0.001 ± 0.001	0.002 1 0.002
		Community Tap Water (pCi/i)	
Broomfield	1a	-0.001 ± 0.002	-0.002 ± 0.001
Westminster	1a	-0.002 ± 0.001	$0.001 \pm 0.002$
Arvada	1	-0.010 ± 0.006	-0.019 ± 0.013
Boulder	1	$-0.013 \pm 0.004$	0.013 ± 0.019
Denver	1	-0.016 ± 0.004	-0.004 ± 0.015
Golden	1	-0.034 ± 0.009	$0.058 \pm 0.018$
Lafayette	1	$-0.012 \pm 0.006$	$0.004 \pm 0.012$
Louisville	1	-0.010 ± 0.006	$0.004 \pm 0.010$
Thornton	1	-0.016 ± 0.005	$0.007 \pm 0.014$

Page 3-46 March 1993

Plutonium and Americium analyses were performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.

b Previously reported incomplete.

## Table 10 - Errata September 1992

# Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

#### Reservoirs (pCi/I)

Location	Number of ocation <u>Samples</u> <u>Uranium-2</u>				<u>Uran</u>	Uranium-238				
Great Western	1a	0.38	±	0.05	0.34	±	0.05			
Standley Lake	1a	0.38	±	0.05	0.43	±	0.05			
	c	Community Tap	W	ater (pCi/I)						
Broomfield	1a	0.13	±	0.03	0.12	±	0.03			
Westminster	<u>.</u> 1a	0.04	±	0.12	0.02	±	0.01			
Arvada	1	0.08	±	0.03	0.03	±	0.02			
Boulder	• 1	0.14	±	0.03	0.14	±	0.03			
Denver	1	0.89	±	0.09	0.42	±	0.06			
Golden	1	0.49	±	0.06	0.47	±	0.06			
Lafayette	1	0.01	±	0.02	0.00	±	0.02			
Louisville	1	-0.02	±	0.02	0.00	±	0.01			
Thornton	1	0.36	±	0.06	0.36	±	0.05			

a Uranium analyses were performed on one sample composited from four weekly grab samples.

b Previously reported incomplete.

## Table 11 - Errata September 1992

## Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritiuma

Tritium (pCi/I)

	Number of									
<b>Location</b>	Samples	<u>C M</u>	inim	um	<u>C</u> M	axir	num	C A	ve	age
Pond A-4b	16	-20	±	110	230	±	90	130	±	20
Pond C-1	3	-60	±	90	300	±	90	110	±	180
Broomfield	4	-80	±	90	100	±	90	40	±	70
Great Western	4	-180	±	80	110	±	90	-40	±	90
Standley Lake	4	10	±	90	120	±	80	40	±	90
Westminster	4	-120	±	90	210	±	90	70	±	90
Walnut at Indianab	17	-10	±	90	360	±	90	120	±	20
Arvada	1	.80	±	80	80	±	80	80	±	80
Boulder	1	110	±	90	110	±	90	110	±	90
Denver	1	-110	±	80	-110	±	80	-110	±	80
Golden	1	30	±	90	30	±	90	30	±	90
Lafayette	1	30	±	90	30	±	90	30	±	90
Louisville	1	30	±	90	30	±	90	30	±	90
Thornton	1	10	±	80	10	±	80	10	±	80

a Previously reported incomplete.

b Volume weighted average concentration.

Table 7 - Errata October 1992

## Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutonium-239240			Americium-241			
Pond A-4 10/20/92 - 10/23/92	-0.011	±	0.006a	-0.008	±	0.010a	
10/24/92 - 10/28/92	-0.004	±	0.009ª	-0.003	±	0.008a	
Volume weighted average concentration	-0.007	±	0.006a	-0.005	±	0.006a	
Pond B-5 - No discharge				V.			
		•					
Pond C-1						0.0445	
10/03/92 - 10/09/92	0.001	±	0.002	0.020	±	0.011ª	
10/10/92 - 10/16/92	0.003	±	0.008a	0.001	±	0.009a	
10/17/92 - 10/23/92	0.011	±	0.009a	-0.010	±	0.013a	
10/24/92 - 10/30/92	0.012	±	0.00 <del>9</del> ª	-0.005	±	0.011ª	
Average concentration	0.007	±	0.006a	0.002	±	0.013a	
Pond C-2 - No discharge							
Wainut Creek at Indiana							
10/21/92 - 10/23/92	-0.001	±	0.008ª	-0.014	±	0.008a	
10/24/92 - 10/28/92	-0.002	± .	0.007ª	-0.010	±	0.010ª	
Volume weighted average concentration	-0.001	±	0.005ª	-0.012	±	0.007a	

a Previously reported incomplete.

Table 8
Onsite Water Sample Results - Uraniuma

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	<u>Uraniu</u>	m-233	3234	<u>Urar</u>	<u> Uranium-238</u>				
Pond A-4	0.70		0.11	0.70	±	0.10			
10/20/92 - 10/23/92 10/24/92 - 10/28/92	0.73 0.56	± ±	0.11 0.08	0.70	±	0.10			
10/24/32 - 10/20/32	0.50	_	0.00	0.55	_	••••			
Volume weighted average concentration	0.63	±	0.07	0.82	±	0.08			
Pond B-5 - No discharge									
Pond C-1									
10/03/92 - 10/09/92	1.12	±	0.10	0.84	±	0.08			
10/10/92 - 10/16/92	1.31	±	0.14	0.96	±	0.11			
10/17/92 - 10/23/92	1.13	±	0.14	0.79	±	0.11			
10/24/92 - 10/30/92	1.00	. ±	0.12	0.82	±	0.11			
Average concentration	1.14	±	0.13	0.85	±	80.0			
Pond C-2 - No discharge									
Walnut Creek at Indiana									
10/21/92 - 10/23/92	0.88	±	0.12	0.81	±	0.11			
10/24/92 - 10/28/92	0.92	±	0.13	0.91	±	0.13			
Volume weighted average concentration	0.90	±	0.09	0.87	±				

Page 3-50 March 1993

a Incomplete lab analysis.

### Table 9 - Errata October 1992

# Offsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

#### Reservoirs (pCl/l)

Location	Number of <u>Samples</u>	Plutonium-239240	Americium-241
Great Western	1a	-0.003 ± 0.006	-0.005 ± 0.009
Standley Lake	1a	-0.012 ± 0.004	0.000 ± 0.010
		Community Tap Water (pCi/l)	,
Broomfield	1a	-0.005 ± 0.006	-0.006 ± 0.006
Westminster	1a	$-0.002 \pm 0.007$	-0.012 ± 0.008

March 1993 Page 3-51

a Plutonium and Americium analyses were performed on one sample composited from five weekly grab samples.

b Previously reported incomplete.

#### Table 10 - Errata October 1992

# Offsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

#### Reservoirs (pCi/i)

Location	Number of <u>Samples</u>	<u>Uranium-2</u>	<u>Uranium-238</u>					
Great Western	1a	0.36 ±	ŧ	0.05	0.36	±.	0.05	
Standley Lake	1a	0.63 ±	Ė	0.08	0.52	±	0.07	
		Community Tap	Wa	ater (pCI/I)				
Broomfield	1a	0.06 ±	Ŀ	0.05	0.14	±	0.05	
Westminster	1ª	0.06 ±	Ł	0.02	0.08	±	0.02	

Uranium analyses were performed on one sample composited from five weekly grab samples.

b Previously reported incomplete.

### Table 11 - Errata October 1992

# Onsite and Offsite Water Sample Results - Tritiumo

#### Tritium (pCi/i)

Location	Number of Samples	C Minimum			C Maximum			C Average			
Pond A-4b	9	-140	±	100	120	±	110	0	±	30	
Pond C-1	4	50	±	100	180	±	90	100	±	60	
Broomfield	5	-320	±	100	90	υ±	100	-70	±	110	
Great Western	5	-130	±	100	-10	±	100	-70	±	110	
Standley Lake	. 5	-230	±	100	50	±	90	-30	±	110	
Westminster	5	-140	±	100	10	±	100	-30	±	110	
Walnut at Indianab	8	-50	±	90	20	±	110	-10	+	40	

Incomplete.

b Volume weighted average concentration.

### Table 7 - Errata November 1992

### Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americiuma

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutonium-23	9240	Americium-241			
Pond A-4 - No Discharge						
Pond B-5 - No Discharge						
Pond C-1 10/31/92 - 11/06/92 11/07/92 - 11/13/92 11/14/92 - 11/20/92	0.033 ± 0.010 ± 0.026 ±	0.012 0.009 0.013	-0.007 ± -0.017 ± -0.011 ±	0.006 0.007 0.007		
11/21/92 - 11/22/92	0.088 ±	0.023	-0.003 ±	0.010		
Average concentration	0.039 ±	0.034	-0.010 ±	0.006		

Pond C-2 - No discharge

Walnut Creek at Indiana - No Flow

a Previously reported incomplete.

### Table 8 - Errata November 1992

### Onsite Water Sample Results - Uraniuma

#### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	<u>Uraniu</u>	m-23:	3234	•	<u> Uranium-238</u>		
Pond A-4 - No Discharge							
Volume weighted average concentration							-
Pond B-5 - No discharge			. •				
Pond C-1		•					
10/31/92 - 11/06/92	1.09	±	0.13		0.69	±	0.09
11/07/92 - 11/13/92	0.81	±	0.12		0.57	±	0.09
11/14/92 - 11/20/92	0.35	±	0.08		0.38	±	0.07
11/21/92 - 11/22/92	0.86	±	0.14		0.65	±	0.11
Average concentration	0.78	±	0.31		0.57	±	0.14

Pond C-2 - No discharge

Walnut Creek at Indiana - No Flow

Previously reported incomplete.

### Table 9 - Errata November 1992

### Onsite Water Sample Results - Tritiuma

#### Tritlum (pCI/I)

Location	Number of <u>Samples</u>	C Minimum	C Maximum	C Average
Pond C-1b	. 3	-190 ± 100	370 ± 100	80 ± 80

Page 3-56 March 1993

a Previously reported incomplete.

b QA/QC data for 2 samples from Pond C-1 failed; insufficient sample for rerun.

### Table 7 - Errata December 1992

### Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCl/l)

Location	Plutoniun	n-239	240	Americium-241			
Pond A-4						1	
12/12/92 - 12/18/92 ·	-0.001	±	0.003	0.003	_	0.002a	
12/19/92 - 12/24/92	0.005	±	0.003ª	0.002	±	0.009ª	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.002	±	0.002a	0.003	±	0.004a	
Pond B-5 - No discharge							
Pond C-1							
12/02/92 - 12/04/92	-0.001	±	0.009a	0.007	±	0.005a	
12/05/92 - 12/11/92	0.005	±	0.005	0.003	±	0.002a	
12/12/92 - 12/18/92	-0.010	±	0.005	0.005	±	0.002a	
12/19/92 - 12/25/92	0.002	±	0.002a	0.001	±	0.008a	
12/26/92 - 01/01/93	0.010	±	0.005a	0.004	±	0.009ª	
Average concentration	0.001	±	0.007ª	0.004	±	0.002a	
Pond C-2 - No discharge							
Walnut Creek at Indiana			٠				
12/13/92 - 12/18/92	-0.008	±	0.007	0.001	±	0.002a	
12/19/92 - 12/25/92	-0.001	±	0.002a	0.011		0.011ª	
Volume weighted average concentration	-0.005	±	0.004a	0.006	±	0.005ª	

a Previously reported incomplete.

Table 8 - Errata December 1992

### Onsite Water Sample Results - Uraniuma

#### Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	<u>Uraniu</u>	3234	<u>Urai</u>	<u> Uranium-238</u>			
Pond A-4	0.41	±	0.08	0.46	±	0.08	
12/12/92 - 12/18/92 12/19/92 - 12/24/92	0.41	±	0.06	0.45	±	0.05	
						0.05	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.38	±	0.05	0.37	±	0.05	
Pond B-5 - No discharge							
Pond C-1	0.77	_	0.12	0.50	±	0.08	
12/02/92 - 12/04/92 12/05/92 - 12/11/92	0.77	± ±		0.66	±	0.10	
12/12/92 - 12/11/92	0.79	±	0.10	0.54	±	0.08	
12/19/92 - 12/10/92	0.69	±	0.09	0.46	±	0.07	
12/26/92 - 01/01/93	0.52	±	0.09	0.34	±	0.07	
Average concentration	0.69	±	0.11	0.50	±	0.12	
Pond C-2 - No discharge							
<u>Walnut Creek at Indiana</u>							
12/13/92 - 12/18/92	0.44	±	0.08	0.45	±	0.08	
12/19/92 - 12/25/92	0.42	±	0.07	0.38	ŧ	0.06	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.43	±	0.05	0.42	±	0.05	

a Previously reported incomplete.

Table 9 - Errata December 1992

# Onsite Water Sample Results - Tritium

Tritium (pCi/I)

	Number of									-	
Location	<u>Samples</u>	<u>C M</u>	inir	num	C_N	laxi	<u>mum</u>	<u>C /</u>	\ve	rage	
Pond A-4b	13	-40	±	100	410	±	130	100	±	30a	
Pond C-1	4	-60	±	90	150	±	100	60	±	90	
Walnut at Indianab	12	-130	+	90a	190	+	100a	20	+	30a	

Previously reported incomplete.

b Volume weighted average concentration.

### Table 7 - Errata February 1993

### Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/i)

Location	Plutonium-239240		240	Americi	um-241
Pond A-4					
02/13/93 - 02/19/93 02/20/93 - 02/26/93	0.001 -0.002	± ±	0.002 0.001		± 0.018ª ± 0.011ª
Volume weighted average concentration	-0.001	±	0.001	0.027	± 0.011ª
Pond B-5 - No discharge					
Pond C-1				·	
01/30/93 - 02/05/93	0.006	±	0.003		± 0.002
02/06/93 - 02/12/93	0.007	±	0.004	•••	± 0.001
02/13/93 - 02/19/93	0.002	±	0.002		± 0.003ª
02/20/93 - 02/26/93	0.013	±	0.006	-0.012	± 0.007ª
Average concentration	0.007	±	0.005	-0.008	± 0.011a
Pond C-2 - No discharge					
Walnut Creek at Indiana					
02/14/93 - 02/19/93	-0.002	±	0.001	-0.005	± 0.009a
02/20/93 - 02/26/93	0.001	±	0.002	-0.011	± 0.010a
Volume weighted average concentration	0.000	±	0.001	-0.008	± 0.007a

Page 3-60 March 1993

a Previously reported incomplete.

Table 8 - Errata February 1993

# Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	<u> Uranium-233, -234</u>			<u>Urar</u>	<u> Uranium-238</u>			
Pond A-4								
02/13/93 - 02/19/93 02/20/93 - 02/26/93	0.94 0.81	± ±	0.13 <sup>a</sup> 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	1.18 0.95	± ±	0.14 <sup>a</sup> 0.13 <sup>a</sup>		
Volume weighted average concentration	0.88	±	0.09a	1.07	±	0.10a		
Pond B-5 - No discharge								
Pond C-1								
01/30/93 - 02/05/93	0.98	±	0.13a	0.68	±	0.09a		
02/06/93 - 02/12/93	1.09	±	0.09	0.91	±	0.08		
02/13/93 - 02/19/93	1.16	±	0.14ª	0.91	±	0.11ª		
02/20/93 - 02/26/93	0.79	±	0.11ª	0.70	±	0.09a		
Average concentration	1.01	±	0.16ª	0.80	±	0.13ª		
Pond C-2 - No discharge			÷					
Walnut Creek at Indiana								
02/14/93 - 02/19/93	0.84	±	0.13a	1.17	±	0.15a		
02/20/93 - 02/26/93	0.97	±	0.13ª	1.00	±	0.13ª		
Volume weighted average concentration	0.91	±	0.09ª	1.07	±	0.10a		

a Previously reported incomplete.

Page 3-62 March 1993

## 4. Meteorology and Climatology

Meteorological data are routinely collected on the plantsite from instrumentation installed on a 61-meter (200-foot) tower located in the west buffer zone at an elevation of 1,870 m (6,140 feet) above sea level. Meteorological data recovery was nearly 100 percent for March. The frequency of wind direction and speed during March 1993 is shown in Table 16. The compass points indicate the direction from which the wind blows. These frequencies are also graphically represented by a wind rose in Figure 5. The wind rose sectors also represent the direction from which the wind blows (i.e., wind along each sector blows toward the center).

Winds at RFP generally occur from the west through northwest, especially when speeds are greater than 4 m/s (9 mph). At lighter wind speeds less than 4 m/s (9 mph), the distribution of wind direction is more even. Wind speeds greater than 5 m/s (11 mph) from the E sector rarely occur. The distribution of winds during March 1993 indicates a relatively high frequency of strong, large scale winds from the west-northwest. Several storms and Arctic high pressure systems were largely responsible for the secondary maximum of north to north-northeast winds.

March 1993 was the first month with above normal temperatures since September 1992. Two stormy periods produced virtually all of March's precipitation. A storm on March 10 through 12 dropped about 5 inches (13 cm) of snow and ushered in an Arctic air mass. The high temperature reached only 18 °F (-8 °C) on March 12, while the overnight lows were 6° and 5° F (-14° and -15°C) on March 12 and 13, respectively. Another series of storms accompanied by upslope winds produced the March's only heavy precipitation event during the month's final 5 days. A total of 1.09 inches (2.8 cm) fell from March 28 through March 30. A high pressure system prevailed during the time between the storms, producing warm and dry weather. The high temperature exceeded 60 °F (16.7 °C) on 4 days, March 23 through 26, including the month's highest temperature of 67 °F (19.6 °C) on March 25.

The mean wind speed during March was 9.2 mph (4.1 m/s). The peak gust during the month occurred on March 8, reaching 50 mph (22 m/s). The mean temperature was 38.0 °F (3.3 °C), or about 1 °F (0.6 °C) below normal.

March 1993 Page 4-1

Precipitation was slightly above normal during March, with water-equivalent totalling 1.52 in. (3.9 cm). Annual precipitation through March 1993 was near normal, totalling 2.19 in. (5.6 cm). Snowfall was only half of normal during March, totalling 7.9 in. (20 cm). Seasonal snowfall through March was slightly below normal, totalling about 54 in. (137 cm).

March 1993

Table 16

Rocky Flats Plant Wind Direction Frequency (Percent) by Four Wind-Speed Classes

(Fifteen-Minute Averages - February 1993)

	Calm	1-2.5 (m/s)	2.5-4 (m/s)	4-8 (m/s)	> 8 (m/s)	<u>Total</u>
N	· . -	2.42	1.78	4.24	0.27	8.71
NNE	•	1.92	2.62	2.49	0.34	7.37
NE	-	1.78	1.24	1.31	0.17	4.50
ENE	•	1.82	0.94	0.37	0.00	3.13
E	•	1.65	2.22	0.54	0.00	4.41
ESE		1.55	2.02	0.71	0.07	4.35
SE	-	2.15	2.15	0.71	0.00	5.01
SSE -	-	1.65	1.78	0.94	0.00	4.37
S	-	1.55	2.18	0.77	0.00	4.50
SSW		1.61	1.45	0.71	0.00	3.77
SW	-	1.31	1.31	0.74	0.07	3.43
wsw	•	1.38	1.01	0.67	0.27	3.33
W	-	1.41	2.15	2.62	1.75	7.93
WNW	' <b>-</b>	2.05	1.95	7.90	4.67	16.57
NW	-	2.69	2.05	4.44	1.18	10.36
NNW	-	2.92	1.75	1.68	0.13	6.48
TOTAL	1.82	29.86	28.60	30.84	8.92	100.00


March 1993 Page 4-3

Table 17
Climatic Summary

	TEMPERATURE (deg. F)				SP			RESS. SOLAR (mb) (kW-h/m2)	EQUIV PRECIP. (inches)		SNOW (inches)	
<u>Date</u>	High	Low	Mean	Mean	Peak gust Mean	(1 sec)	Mean	Total	Peak Total	(15 min)	Total	
03/01	44.4	26.4	35.4	16.0	6.7	18.3	807	3.99	0.00	0.00		
03/02	48.2	27.5	37.9	10.2	11.0	28.4	807	4.06	0.00	0.00		
03/03	39.2	24.3	31.8	7.3	6.7	17.0	811	4.14	0.00	0.00		
03/04	41.4	23.9	32.7	5.4	6.0	16.3	816	4.89	0.00	0.00		
03/05	50.9	30.0	40.5	7.7	9.4	30.6	815	4.59	0.00	0.00		
03/06	50.2	36.7	43.5	15.6	8.9	27.3	815	3.61	0.00	0.00		
03/07	57.7	38.3	48.0	14.4	18.1	49.4	815	5.01	0.00	0.00		
03/08	61.0	43.7	52.4	14.5	13.9	50.3	813	4.33	0.00	0.00		
03/09	55.0	28.4	41.7	15.3	16.3	49.9	812	5.21	0.00	0.00		
03/10	38.8	22.6	30.7	12.4	8.5	19.2	814	3.42	0.08	0.01	1.0	
03/11	24.8	10.6	17.7	7.5	12.5	33.1	813	2.92	0.16	0.01	2.6	
03/12	17.8	5.9	11.9	-3.8	7.4	19.2	818	5.24	0.07	0.02		
03/13	40.3	5.4	22.9	1.4	8.5	33.8	810	5.36	0.00	0.00		
03/14	51.6	23.9	37.8	12.2	10.3	45.0	805	4.95	0.00	0.00		
03/15	50.2	38.3	44.3	14.7	14.8	47.2	804	5.36	0.00	0.00		
03/16	48.7	19.0	33.9	15.3	8.1	32.2	812	3.92	0.01	0.01		
03/17	28.6	18.5	23.6	14.7	3.8	13.2	811	1.71	0.00	0.00		
03/18	54.1	23.4	38.8	17.8	12.3	41.4	806	4.86	0.00	0.00		
03/19	54.1	29.8	42.0	14.7	15.4	35.3	814	4.40	0.00	0.00		
03/20	50.2	25.3	37.8	20.1	4.7	16.6	815	5.64	0.00	0.00		
03/21	51.8	32.0	41.9	16.7	11.0	48.5	811	5.50	0.00	0.00		
03/22	51.8	28.4	40.1	15.8	5.1	15.9	815	5.88	0.00	0.00		
03/23	66.0	40.3	53.2	14.4	8.9	27.5	813	6.17	0.00	0.00		
03/24	64.9	43.7	54.3	14.2	6.5	16.1	813	6.16	0.00	0.00		
03/25	67.3	42.3	54.8	18.9	8.5	25.1	813	6.05	0.00	0.00		
03/26	62.6	44.2	53.4	21.7	5.8	45.9	809	3.69	0.00	0.00		
03/27	51.8	29.1	40.5	23.4	9.6	28.9	802	2.34	0.07	0.02		
03/28	45.5	30.2	37. <del>9</del>	14.5	10.1	25.7	804	4.62	0.50	0.15		
03/29	40.6	29.1	34.9	-999	4.5	12.3	807	1.60	0.26	0.04		
03/30	35.2	21.9	28.6	-999	6.3	19.5	808	2.31	0.33	0.03		
03/31	41.5	25.2	33.4	-999	5.4	22.4	812	5.28	0.04	0.01	0.6	

MONTHLY TEMPERATURES			WIND SPEED		PRESS.	SOLAR	PRECIPITATION		SNOW	
Mean <u>High</u>	Mean Low	Mean	Dew- point	Mean (mph)	Monthly <u>Max.</u>	Monthly Avg.	Monthly <u>Total</u>	Total	Monthly <u>Max.</u>	Total
47.9	28.0	38.0	13.3	9.2	50.3	811.0	137.21	1.52	0.15	7.9

WATER-






Figure 5: Wind Rose for the Rocky Flats Plant - March 1993

Page 4-6 March 1993

### Appendix A

#### Radiation Standards for Protection of the Public

Calculation of Potential Plant Contribution to Public Radiation Dose The primary standards for protection of the public from radiation are based on radiation dose. Radiation dose is a means of quantifying the biological damage or risk of ionizing radiation. The unit of radiation dose is the rem or the millirem (1 rem = 1,000 mrem). Radiation protection standards for the public are annual standards, based on the projected radiation dose from a year's exposure to or intake of radioactive materials.

Radiation dose is a calculated value. It is calculated by multiplying radioactivity concentrations in air and water or on contaminated surfaces by assumed intake rates (for internal exposures) or by exposure times (for external exposure to penetrating radiation), then by the appropriate radiation dose conversion factors. That is:

Radiation Dose =

Radioactivity Concentration x Intake Rate/Exposure Time x Dose Conversion Factor

Radioactivity concentrations can be determined either by measurements in the environment or by calculations using computer models. These computer models perform airborne dispersion/dose modeling of measured building radioactivity effluents and estimated diffuse source term emissions (e.g., from resuspension from contaminated soil areas).

Assumed intake rates and dose conversion factors used are based on recommendations of national and international radiation protection advisory organizations, such as the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) and the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP).

Radioactive materials of importance in calculating radiation dose to the public from Rocky Flats Plant (RFP) activities include plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium. Alpha radiation emissions from plutonium, uranium, and americium are primary contributors to the projected radiation dose.

#### DOE Radiation Protection Standards for the Public

### ICRP-Recommended Standards for all Pathways:

Temporary Increase - 500 mrem/year Effective Dose Equivalent (with prior approval of DOE EH-2)

Normal Operations - 100 mrem/year Effective Dose Equivalent

### EPA Clean Air Act Standards for the Air Pathway Only:

10 mrem/year Effective Dose Equivalent

#### DOE Derived Concentration Guides for Radionuclides of Interest at the Rocky Flats Plant

#### Air inhalation:

Radionuclide DCG (pCI/m3)

Plutonium-239, -240 0.02

Water Ingestion:

Radionuclide DCG (pCI/I)

 Plutonium-239, 24030

 Americium-241
 30

 Uranium-233, -234
 500

 Uranium-238
 600

 Hydrogen-3 (Tritlum)
 2,000,000

DOE Derived Concentration Guides

Potential public radiation dose commitments, which could have resulted from plant operations and from background (i.e., non-Plant) contributions, are calculated from average radionuclide concentrations measured at the Department of Energy (DOE) property boundary and in surrounding communities. Inhalation and water ingestion are the principal potential pathways of human exposure.

On February 8, 1990, DOE adopted DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," a radiation protection standard for DOE environmental activities (US 90). This standard incorporates guidance from the ICRP, as well as from the Environmental Protection Agency Clean Air Act air emission standards (as implemented in 40 CFR 61, Subpart H). Included in DOE Order 5400.5 is a revision of the dose limits for members of the public. Tables of radiation dose conversion factors currently used for calculating dose from intakes of radioactive materials were issued in July 1988 (US88a, US88b). The dose factors are based on the ICRP Publications 30 and 48 methodology and biological models for radiation dosimetry. The DOE Order 5400.5 and the dose conversion factor tables are used for assessment of any potential RFP contribution to public radiation dose. On December 15, 1989, EPA published revised Clean Air Act air emission standards for DOE facilities (US89). DOE radiation standards for protection of the public are given in this Appendix and include the December 15, 1989, EPA Clean Air Act air pathway standards.

Secondary radioactivity concentration guides can be calculated from the primary radiation dose standards and used as comparison values for measured radioactivity concentrations. DOE provides tables of these "Derived Concentration Guides" - in Order 5400.5. Derived Concentration Guides (DCGs) are the concentrations that would result in an effective dose equivalent of 100 mrem from one year's chronic exposure or intake. In calculating air inhalation DCGs, DOE assumes that the exposed individual inhales 8,400 cubic meters of air at the calculated DCG during the year. Ingestion DCGs assume a water intake of 730 liters at the calculated DCG for the year. The table on page 40 lists the most restrictive air and water DCGs for the principal radionuclides of interest at the RFP.

# Compliance with EPA Clean Air Act Standards

To determine compliance with the EPA air emissions standards, measured airborne effluent radioactivity emissions are entered into the EPA-approved atmospheric dispersion/dose calculation computer model, AIRDOS-PC, for calculation of the maximum radiation dose that an individual in the public could receive from the air pathway only.

For comparison with the annual radiation dose standards for protection of the public, the maximum annual effective dose equivalent that a member of the public could receive as a result of RFP activities is typically less than 1 mrem, or less than 1 percent of the recommended annual standard for all pathways.

#### Dose Equivalent and Effective Dose Equivalent (EDE)

Dose equivalent is a calculated value used to quantify radiation dose; it reflects the degree of biological effect from ionizing radiation. Differences in the biological effect of different types of ionizing radiation (e.g., alpha, beta, gamma, or x-rays) are accounted for in the calculation of dose equivalent.

EDE is a calculated value used to allow comparisons of total health risk (based primarily on the risk of cancer mortality) from exposures of different types of ionizing radiation to different body organs. It is calculated by first calculating the dose equivalent to those organs receiving significant exposures, multiplying each organ dose equivalent by a health risk weighting factor, and then summing those products. One millirem EDE from natural background radiation would have the same health risk as one millirem EDE from an artificially produced source of radiation.

#### References

US88a DOE/EH-0070, "External Dose-Rate Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public," United States Department of Energy, Asst. Secretary for Environment, Safety and Health, July 1988.

US88b DOE/EH-0071, "Internal Dose Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public," United States Department of Energy, Asst. Secretary of Environment, Safety and Health, July 1988.

US89 United States Environmental Protection Agency, Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR 61, Subpart H, "National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides other than Radon from Department of Energy Facilities," Washington, D.C., December 15, 1989.

US90 United States Department of Energy, DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," Washington, D.C., February 8, 1990.

### Appendix B

# National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement Volatile Organic Compounds

The following is a list of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) for which monitoring is required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement (NPDES/FFCA).

Compound	PQL (µg/I)	Compound	PQL (μg/l)	
Benzene	5	1,3-dichloropropylene	5	
Bromoform	5	Ethylbenzene	5	
Methyl bromide	10	Methyl chloride	10	
Carbon Tetrachloride	5	Methylene chloride	5	
Chlorobenzene	5	1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	5	
Chlorodibromomethane	5	Tetrachloroethylene	5	
Chloroethane	10	Toluene	5	
Chloroform	5	1,2-trans-dichloroethylene	5	
Dichlorobromomethane	5	1,1,1-trichloroethane	5	
1,1-dichloroethane	5	1,1,2-trichloroethane	5	
1,2-dichloroethane	5	Trichloroethylene	5	
1,1-dichloroethylene	5	Vinyl chloride	. 10	
1,2-dichloropropane	5	•	•	

March 1993

Page B-1

Page B-2 March 1993

### Appendix C

### **Colorado Water Quality Control Commission Standards**

The Colorado Water Quality Control Commission has promulgated new standards for the Walnut Creek and Woman Creek drainages downstream from the Rocky Flats Plant. The EPA has not yet written a new NPDES permit that reflects these standards; however, in the spirit of the Agreement in Principle completed between the DOE and the State of Colorado, the plant is attempting to meet the standards at this time.

March 1993 Page C-1

Page C-2 March 1993

### Appendix D

#### **Distribution**

#### Federal Agencies

US DOE, RFO Attn: A.H. Pauole Bldg. 115

US EPA

Attn: Dr. M. Lammering, R. Rutherford One Denver Place - Suite 1300 999 18th Street Denver, CO 80202-2413

US EPA Attn: B. Lavelle 999 18th Street, Suite 500 8 HWM-FF

Denver, CO 80202-2405

#### State Government Agencies

Colorado Water Conservation Board Attn: N.C. Ioannides 823 State Centennial Building 1313 Sherman Street Denver, CO 80203

Denver Regional Council of Governments Atm: L. Mugler 2480 W. 27th Avenue, #200B Denver, CO 80211

Department of Natural Resources Attn: B. Hamlett III 1313 Sherman Street Denver, CO 80203

Rocky Flats Environmental Monitoring Council Atm: G. Swartz 1536 Cole Blvd., Suite 325 Denver West Office Park #4 Golden, CO 80401

#### City Governments

City of Arvada Utilities Division Attn: M. Mauro 8101 Ralston Road Arvada, CO 80002

City of Boulder
Office of the City Manager
Attn: J. Piper, A. Struthers
P.O. Box 791
Boulder, CO 80302

City of Broomfield Attn: H. Mahan, K. Schnoor #6 Garden Office Center P.O. Box 1415 Broomfield, CO 80038-1415

City of Fort Collins
Office of the City Manager
Attn: S. Burkett
300 La Porte
Fort Collins, CO 80525

City of Northglenn Attn: N. Renfroe 11701 Community Center Drive Northglenn, CO 80233-1099

City of Thornton Attn: J. Ethredge, City Manager 9500 Civic Center Drive Thornton, CO 80229-1120

City of Westminster
Attn: W. Christopher, S. Ramer,
D. Cross
4800 W. 92nd Avenue
Westminster, CO 80030

Denver Water Department Quality Control Attn: J. Dice 1600 W. 12th Avenue Denver, CO 80254

#### **Health Departments**

Boulder City/County Health Department - Division of Environmental Health Attn: T. Douville, V. Harris 3450 Broadway Boulder, CO 80020

Colorado Department of Health 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South Denver, CO 80222-1530 Attn: J. Bruch, R. Fox, D. Holme, J. Jacobi, E. Kray, A. Lockhart, P. Nolan, R. Quillin, J. Sowinski, R. Terry, S. Tarlton

Colorado Department of Health Office of Environmental Multimedia Focal Group 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South Denver, CO 80222-1530 Attn: J. Berardini

Jefferson County Health Department Attn: Dr. M. Johnson, C. Sanders 260 South Kipling Lakewood, CO 80226

Tri County District Health Attn: S. Salyards 4301 E. 72nd Avenue Commerce City, CO 80022

#### <u>Environmental</u>

Advance Sciences, Inc.
Attn: D. Kaskie, M.G. Waltermire
405 Urban Street, Suite 401
Lakewood, CO 80228

American Friends Service Co. Attn: T. Rauch 1535 High Street, 3rd Floor Denver, CO 80218

F.H. Blaha 2303 Table Heights Drive Golden, CO 80401 Environmental Information Network Attn: P. Elofson-Gardine 8470 W. 52nd Place, Suite 9 Arvada, CO 80002-3447

IT Corporation Atin: C. Rayburn 5600 S. Quebec, Suite 280D Englewood, CO 80111

L.C. Holdings Attn: M. Jones 18300 Hwy 72 Golden, CO 80403-8222

Margie Reynolds 8882 Comanche Drivet Longmont, CO 80503-8657

National Renewable Energy Laboratory Attn: R. Noun 1617 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80402

PRC Environmental Management, Inc.
Attn: R.J. Fox

1099 18th Street, Suite 1960 Denver, CO 80202

Peak Rock Spring Water Attn: S. Dolson 4615 Broadway Street Boulder, CO 80304-0509

Rocky Flats Cleanup Commission Attn: K. Korkia 1738 Wynkoop, Suite 302 Denver, CO 80202

Sierra Club - Rocky Mountain Chapter Atm: Dr. E. DeMayo 11684 Ranch Elsie Road Golden, CO 80203

W. Gale Biggs Associates Atm: Dr. W. Gale Biggs P.O. Box 3344 Boulder, CO 80307 Woodward Clyde/ERCE Attn: W. Glasgow Stanford Place 3, Suite 415 4582 S. Ulster Street Pkwy. Denver, CO 80237

Wright Water Engineers Attn: J. Jones, S. Kribs 2490 W. 26th Avenue, Suite 100A Denver, CO 80211

#### Other

National Center for Atmospheric Research Atm: S. Sadler P.O. Box 3000 Boulder, CO 80307-3000

Physicians for Social Responsibility Attn: T. Perry 1000 16th NW, Suite 810 Washington, D.C. 20036

R.M. Borinsky 13004 Lowell Court Broomfield, CO 80020

W.J. Jones 10986 W. 77th Avenue Arvada, CO 80005

T.T. Matsuo 11746 W. 74th Way Arvada, CO 80005

R.D. Morgenstern 3213 W. 133rd Avenue Broomfield, CO 80020

J.K. Natale 11767 W. 74th Way Arvada, CO 80005

L.S. Newton 5993 W. 75th Avenue Arvada, CO 80003

Michael Peceny Fluor - Daniels 1726 Cole Blvd., Suite 150 Golden, CO 80401 F.H. Shoemaker 13631 W. 54th Avenue Arvada, CO 80002

D.S. Smith 11122 Seton Place Westminster, CO 80030

D.L. Weiland 7648 Owens Court Arvada, CO 80005

S.M. Yasutake 6381 West 74th Place Arvada, CO 80003

#### **EG&G Rocky Flats**

Rocky Flats Plant Public Reading Room c/o Front Range Community College 3645 W. 112th Avenue Westminster, CO 80037

S.J. Bender Compliance Integration

R.L. Benedetti, Acting Associate General Manager, Environmental Restoration Management

B.M. Bowen, EPM/Air Quality Division

E.A. Brovsky, General Chemistry

M.S. Brugh, Gen. Spect. Laboratory

D.A. Cirrincione, EPM/ Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting

J.A. Cuicci, Liquid Waste

S.L. Cunningham, Info. Security

N.M. Daugherty, EPM/Air Quality Division

N.S. Demos, ERM/Facility Operations

R.A. Deola, EPM/Air Quality Division

- J.R. Dick, Analytical Labs
- L.A. Doerr, Op. Health Physics
- L.A. Dunstan, EPM/Surface Water Division
- G.D. Elliott, FPM Program Management
- E.W. Ellis, Technical Development

Environmental Master File c/o M. Paliani, EPM/Records and Reporting

- N.L. Erdmann, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting
- P.J. Etchart, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting
- G.R. Euler, EPM/Air Quality Division
- V.T. Guettlein, EPM/Surface Water
- T.G. Hedahl, Associate General Manager Environmental & Waste Management
- D.I. Hunter, General Laboratory
- J.E. Janke, ERM/Remediation Reporting Management
- H. Jordan, Nuclear Safety Engineering
- T.G. Kalivas, EPM/Air Quality Division
- A.J. Kallas, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting
- R.D. Lindberg, ERM/Env. Science and Technology
- F.G. McKenna, Chief Counsel
- W.E. Osborne, EPM/Air Quality Division
- J.G. Paukert, Media Relations

- B.J. Pauley, EPM/Air Quality Division
- L.C. Pauley, EPM/Air Quality Division
- V.L. Peterson, Safety Analysis Engineering
- D.R. Pierson, Pondrete Ops.
- F. Primozic Waste Quality Engineering
- A.J. Read, Analytical Labs
- R.S. Roberts, Remediation Programs Division
- C.M. Sanda, Community Relations J.K. Schwartz, Media Communications
- C.A. Sedlmayr, Administration
- G.H. Setlock, Acting Director Environmental Protection Management
- T.A. Smith, Community Relations
- N.R. Stallcup, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting
- D.R. Stanton, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting
- D. Stein, Mechanical Utilities
- M.T. Sullivan, Radiation Protection
- C. Trice, Analytical Labs
- J.M. Wilson, Director, Communications
- J.O. Zane, General Manager
- J. Zarret, Analytical Labs
- K. Zbryk, Analytical Labs

Page D-4 March 1993